arXiv:0705.3821v3 [math.DG] 7 May 2008

On pseudo-harmonic maps in conformal geometry

Gerasim Kokarev
School of Mathematics, The University of Edinburgh
King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, UK
Email: `G.Kokarev@ed.ac.uk`

Abstract

We extend harmonic map techniques to the setting of more general differential equations in conformal geometry. We discuss existence theorems and obtain an extension of Siu's strong rigidity to Kähler-Weyl geometry. Other applications include topological obstructions to the existence of Kähler-Weyl structures. For example, we show that no co-compact lattice in $SO(1,n)$, $n > 2$, can be the fundamental group of a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold of certain type.

0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study an elliptic quasilinear system of equations on maps between manifolds endowed with linear connections. This system generalises the harmonic map equation and, in many situations, is more suitable for geometric applications. We demonstrate this in the context of conformal geometry. More precisely, the first principal application is the following extension of Siu's strong rigidity (Theorem 5.1).

Strong rigidity. *Let M be a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold and M' be a compact locally Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type whose universal cover does not contain the hyperbolic plane as a factor. Suppose that there exists a homotopy equivalence $u : M \to M'$. If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then u is homotopic to a biholomorphism for some invariant complex structure on M'.*

Any Kähler metric on M is pluricanonical (see Sect. 4 for a precise definition) and for this case the strong rigidity is due to Siu [36]. In complex dimension two any compact complex manifold admits a Kähler-Weyl structure and the statement shows that the complex structure on compact quotients of the unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^2$ is globally rigid among all possible complex structures; see [16, 17]. In complex dimension greater than two the Kähler-Weyl condition forces M to be locally conformal Kähler; see Sect. 4. Such manifolds form a significantly larger class of complex manifolds than Kähler ones. The theorem above states that the complex structure on the locally Hermitian symmetric space M' is globally rigid among certain locally conformal Kähler variations.

The difference between this version of the strong rigidity and the version for Kähler manifolds can be illustrated by the following conjecture, see [8, Ch.2].

Vaisman's conjecture. *A compact locally conformal Kähler manifold of the "same topology" as a compact Kähler manifold admits a global Kähler metric.*

In our context the appropriate meaning for the phrase "same topology" is "same homotopy type". The positive answer to this conjecture would imply the strong rigidity among all locally conformal Kähler complex structures as a consequence of the rigidity among Kähler ones. However, almost nothing is known on Vaisman's conjecture in complex dimension greater than two.

As an application of the theorem above, we partially confirm this conjecture for manifolds with pluricanonical metrics.

Corollary. *Let M be a compact locally conformal Kähler manifold of the same homotopy type as a locally Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type whose universal cover does not contain the hyperbolic plane as a factor. If M admits a pluricanonical metric, then it admits a global Kähler metric.*

The second principal application of our technique is the following extension of the results by Carlson and Toledo [4, 5]; Theorem 5.5.

Theorem. *Let Γ be a co-compact discrete subgroup of $SO(1,n)$ with $n>2$. If Γ is the fundamental group of a Kähler-Weyl manifold, then the latter can not be a complex surface and can not admit a pluricanonical metric.*

Mention that the result of Taubes [37] implies that any finitely presentable group is the fundamental group of a closed complex 3-dimensional manifold, cf. [1]. The groups in the theorem illustrate the topological difference between the class of all complex manifolds (in dimension greater than two) and Kähler-Weyl manifolds with pluricanonical metrics.

Now we outline the organisation of the paper. In Sect. 1-2 we introduce main equations and discuss existence theorems. The study of equations of this kind was started in the paper [17] by Jost and Yau, where the authors consider a similar equation in Hermitian geometry. In particular, the main existence result (Theorem 2.2) is a version of the one in [17]. Its proof is discussed in Sect. 6.

In Sect. 3, as a first application of the existence theorem, we obtain topological constraints on Weyl manifods with non-negative symmetric part of the Ricci-Weyl curvature. Sect. 4-5 are devoted to the applications to Kähler-Weyl geometry. These include the strong rigidity and topological obstructions to the existence of certain Kähler-Weyl structures. The results generalise known ones for Kähler manifolds and complex surfaces and put the latter into a general picture of Kähler-Weyl geometry.

Some of our results admit further extensions. For example, one can consider the strong rigidity for irreducible quotients of polydisks. This would imply that the hypothesis on the universal cover is unnecessary in the corollary above. The existence problem can be also studied in the more general setting of twisted pseudo-harmonic maps.

1. Preliminaries on pseudo-harmonic maps

Recall the definition of the harmonic map equation. Let (M,g) and (M',g') be Riemannian manifolds of dimensions n and n' respectively. Their Riemannian metrics give rise to a natural metric on the 1-jet bundle $J^1(M,M')$ over the space $M\times M'$ and for maps $u:M\to M'$ we consider the energy functional

$$E(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_M \|du(x)\|^2\, dVol_g(x), \qquad x\in M.$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation for this functional

$$-\tau(u)(x)=0, \qquad x\in M,$$

is called the *harmonic map equation* and its solutions are called *harmonic mappings*. In local coordinates on M and M' the operator $\tau(u)$ has the form

$$\tau^i(u) = \Delta_M u^i + g^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma'^{\,i}_{jk}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^\beta},$$

where $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Gamma'^{\,i}_{jk}$ denote the tensor inverse to the metric on M and the Cristoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection on M' respectively, and Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M.[1]

The vector field $\tau(u)(x)$, where $x \in M$, is called the tension field and can be alternatively described as follows. Consider the second fundamental form $\mathscr{D}^2 u$ of a map u, given by

$$\mathscr{D}^2 u(X,Y) = \widetilde{\nabla}_X(du)(Y). \tag{1.1}$$

Above X and Y are vector fields on M and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denotes the connection on the tensor product $T^*M \otimes u^*TM'$ induced by the Levi-Civita connections ∇ and ∇' on M and M' respectively. It is a simple calculation to show that the tension field $\tau(u)$ coincides with $trace_g \mathscr{D}^2 u$. This suggests to consider the following more general operator; cf. [21].

Definition. Given arbitrary linear torsion-free connections ∇ and ∇' on M and M' determine a connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on the tensor product $T^*M \otimes u^*TM'$; we denote by the symbol $\mathscr{D}^2 u$ the form given by (1.1) with respect to this connection. For a given Riemannian metric g on M the correspondence

$$Maps(M,M') \ni u \longmapsto trace_g \mathscr{D}^2 u \in Sections(u^*TM')$$

defines a second order elliptic differential operator, called the *pseudo-harmonic map operator* and denoted below by $\tau(g,\nabla,\nabla')$; the solutions of the corresponding equation

$$trace_g \mathscr{D}^2 u(x) = 0, \qquad x \in M, \tag{1.2}$$

are called *pseudo-harmonic mappings*.

The reason for introducing the more general equation is that geometrically interesting maps are often solutions of it. In more detail, suppose that given manifolds M and M' are endowed with some structures and connections ∇ and ∇' which respect (or preserve) these structures. Then we expect the morphisms of the structures be corresponding pseudo-harmonic maps. For example, if M and M' are Riemannian manifolds and ∇ and ∇' are their Levi-Civita connections, then totally geodesic maps are, of course, harmonic. Analogously, holomorphic and anti-holomorphic maps are always Hermitian harmonic (and not necessarily harmonic!) in the example below.

Example 1.1 (Hermitian harmonic map equation [18]). Suppose manifolds M and M' are complex and let ∇ and ∇' be corresponding torsion-free complex connections. Let g be a Hermitian metric on M. A calculation shows that in local coordinates $(1/2)\tau(g,\nabla,\nabla')$ has the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau(g,\nabla,\nabla')(u)^i = g^{\alpha\bar\beta}\left(\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial z^\alpha \partial z^{\bar\beta}} + \Gamma'^i_{jk}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial z^\alpha}\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial z^{\bar\beta}}\right),$$

where Γ'^i_{jk} stand for Cristoffel symbols of the connection on M', see [21]. In particular, the equation $(1/2)\tau(g,\nabla,\nabla') = 0$ coincides with the Hermitian harmonic map equation, introduced by Jost and Yau in [18]; the corresponding solutions are precisely harmonic maps when M and M' are Kähler.

---

[1] There are different conventions for the choice of the sign of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Due to our definition this operator is non-positive.

Example 1.2 (Hermitian harmonic maps into Riemannian manifolds). Here we describe a natural pseudo-harmonic map equation on maps from a complex manifold (M,J) to a Riemannian manifold (M',g'). Let g and $\nabla^{\dagger}$ be a Hermitian metric and a torsion-free complex connection on M and ∇' be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g'. The natural morphisms of manifolds (M,J) and (M',g') – the so-called *pluriharmonic maps*, see Sect. 4-5, – solve the corresponding equation $\tau(g,\nabla^{\dagger},\nabla')(u)=0$. We also refer to it as the *Hermitian harmonic map equation*. A computation shows that the latter differs from the harmonic map equation (for the metrics g and g') by a linear first order term,

$$\tau(g,\nabla^{\dagger},\nabla')(u)-\tau(u)=-du(J\cdot\delta J).$$

Here the vector field δJ is given by the formula

$$\delta J=-trace_g(\nabla J),$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the Hermitian metric g. Recall that Hermitian manifolds with vanishing δJ are called co-symplectic. In particular, for such domains these Hermitian harmonic maps coincide with harmonic maps.

Now we list a number of basic properties of pseudo-harmonic maps, which are essentially consequences of the fact that they solve second order elliptic differential equations. The statements below are analogous to these by Sampson [32] for harmonic maps; the proofs in [32] carry over without essential changes to the pseudo-harmonic setting.

Proposition 1.1 (Unique continuation)**.** *Let M be a connected manifold and u, v be two pseudo-harmonic maps $M\to M'$, the solutions of equation* (1.2)*. If they agree on an open subset of M, then they are identical; the same conclusion holds if u and v agree to infinitely high order at some point. In particular, a pseudo-harmonic map which is constant on an open subset is a constant map.*

Thus, if a pseudo-harmonic map u has rank zero on an open subset of M, i.e. is constant on an open subset, it must have rank zero everywhere. In the case of real-analytic manifolds (endowed with real-analytic linear connections ∇ and ∇' and a real-analytic metric g on the domain manifold) the pseudo-harmonic map is also real-analytic and it follows that, if it has rank r on an open subset of M, then it has rank r on an open and dense subset. In the differentiable case we have the following statement when the rank equals one.

Proposition 1.2. *Let M be a connected manifold and $u:M\to M'$ be a pseudo-harmonic map. If the differential du has rank one on an open subset of M, then u maps M into a geodesic arc (with respect to the connection ∇') in the manifold M' and du has rank one on an open and dense subset. If M is closed, then the geodesic arc is closed.*

The proof of this proposition uses the following version of the maximum principle.

Proposition 1.3 (Maximum principle)**.** *Let $u:M\to M'$ be a pseudo-harmonic map and $p\in M$, $q\in M'$ be points such that the former is mapped onto the latter under u, $q=u(p)$. Let S be a piece of a hypersurface in M' passing through q, at which we assume that the second fundamental form (with respect to the connection on M') is definite. If u is not a constant mapping, then no neighbourhood of p is mapped entirely to the concave side of S.*

The existence of pseudo-harmonic maps for arbitrary connections ∇ and ∇' seems to be a subtle question. To make a first step we suppose that a *connection ∇' on the target manifold M' is metric*. In this case the pseudo-harmonic map equation differs from the harmonic map equation by a first order term and we discuss, in Sect. 2, existence results for such pseudo-harmonic maps when M' has non-positive sectional curvature.

2. Pseudo-harmonic maps in Weyl geometry

For the rest of the paper ∇ and ∇' *always stand for the Levi-Civita connections of the metrics* g *and* g' *on* M *and* M' respectively. We now specialise the considerations to the setting of Weyl geometry.

2.1. First definitions

A Weyl structure on a conformal manifold (M,c) is a torsion-free linear connection ∇^W preserving the conformal structure c. This means that for any Riemannian metric $g \in c$ there exists an 1-form Θ, called the *Higgs field*, such that $\nabla^W g = \Theta \otimes g$. Alternatively, one can define ∇^W by the following formula

$$\nabla^W_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(X)Y - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(Y)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X,Y)\Theta^\sharp, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Theta^\sharp$ is a vector field dual to Θ with respect to g. The 2-form $d\Theta$ is called the *distance curvature function* and does not depend on $g \in c$. A Weyl structure whose distance curvature function vanishes is called *closed*; the cohomology class $[\Theta] \in H^1(M,\mathbf{R})$ does not depend on $g \in c$. If the latter vanishes the Weyl structure is called *exact*. An exact Weyl structure, $\Theta = dV$, coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of $\exp(-V)g$. More generally, a closed Weyl structure is locally the Levi-Civita connection of a compatible metric; it does not need to be a global metric connection unless M is simply connected.

Let us fix a Riemannian metric $g \in c$ and consider the pseudo-harmonic map equation with respect to a Weyl connection and a metric g on the domain M and a metric connection on the target M',

$$\tau(g,\nabla^W,\nabla')(u)(x) = 0, \qquad x \in M. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition. A map from a conformal Weyl manifold (M,c,∇^W) to a Riemannian manifold (M',g') which solves equation (2.2) is called *Weyl harmonic*.

Clearly, the property of a map being Weyl harmonic does not depend on a reference metric $g \in c$. We use below the notation $\tau^W(u)$ for the operator $\tau(g,\nabla^W,\nabla')$. Straightforward calculations yield

$$\tau^W(u) - \tau(u) = -\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right) du(\Theta^\sharp), \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tau(u)$ is the harmonic map operator $\tau(g,\nabla,\nabla')$. In particular, in dimension two equation (2.2) coincides with the harmonic map equation. Further, if the Higgs field Θ is exact, $\Theta = dV$, then Weyl harmonic maps coincide with harmonic maps with respect to the metric $\exp(-V)g$ on the domain. More generally, for a closed Weyl structure solutions of (2.2) are locally harmonic maps with respect to a compatible metric on M and do not need to be global harmonic maps. This means that solutions of (2.2) are harmonic maps from the covering $\tilde{M}$ (endowed with a compatible metric) such that the lifted form $\tilde{\Theta}$ becomes exact. The fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ acts by deck transformations on $\tilde{M}$ and these harmonic maps are $\pi_1(M)$-equivariant.

In dimension greater than two there is no loss of generality in considering Weyl harmonic map equation instead of a general pseudo-harmonic map equation. More precisely, the following observation holds.

Proposition 2.1. *Let* (M,g) *and* (M',g') *be Riemannian manifolds and suppose that the former is endowed with an additional torsion-free connection* $\nabla^\dagger$. *Then there exists a* *unique Weyl connection ∇^W on M preserving the conformal class of g such that*

$$\tau(g,\nabla^W,\nabla') = \tau(g,\nabla^\dagger,\nabla').$$

Proof. The Higgs field $\Theta^\sharp$ of this Weyl connection is given by the formula

$$\Theta^\sharp = (2/(n-2))\,trace_g(\nabla^\dagger - \nabla),$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g. ☐

2.2. Existence and uniqueness

Let (M,c,∇^W) and (M',g') be a closed Weyl manifold and a closed Riemannian manifold respectively. Suppose that the latter has non-positive sectional curvature. Then, due to the Eells-Sampson theorem [9], Weyl harmonic maps exist in any homotopy class provided $\dim M = 2$ or the Weyl structure is exact. For arbitrary Weyl connections we have the following assertion.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (M,g) and (M',g') be closed Riemannian manifolds. Suppose that the former has dimension greater than two and is endowed with a Weyl connection preserving the conformal class of g and the latter has non-positive sectional curvature. Let $[v]$ be a homotopy class of mappings $M \to M'$ such that either*

- *(i) it does not contain a map v whose pull-back bundle v^*TM' has a non-trivial parallel section, or*
- *(ii) it does not contain a map onto a closed geodesic and the manifold M' has strictly negative curvature.*

Then the homotopy class $[v]$ contains a Weyl harmonic map.

The condition (i) on the homotopy class is satisfied, for example, when the manifold M' is orientable and has non-vanishing Euler characteristic, and v is non-trivial on the top cohomology $v^* : H^{n'}(M',\mathbf{Z}) \to H^{n'}(M,\mathbf{Z})$. Indeed, under these hypotheses the Euler class of the pull-back bundle is non-trivial and, hence, the latter does not have any non-trivial parallel section.

When the target manifold is a locally symmetric space the hypothesis on the Euler characteristic above is often satisfied. For example, if the universal cover of M' is a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathbf{C}^m$, then M' is Kähler hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov [13]. By Gromov's solution of the Hopf-Chern conjecture for Kähler hyperbolic manifolds, such a locally symmetric space has a non-vanishing Euler characteristic (and its sign is $(-1)^m$). Further, the Euler characteristics of orientable irreducible locally symmetric spaces covered by

$$SO_0(p,q)/SO(p)\times SO(q) \quad \text{or} \quad Sp(p,q)/Sp(p)\times Sp(q) \tag{2.4}$$

also do not vanish; these cases are explained in [26]. Since these examples often appear below, we summarise the discussion into the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (M,c,∇^W) and (M',g') be a closed Weyl manifold and a Riemannian manifold respectively. Suppose that M' is a compact quotient of a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathbf{C}^m$, or an orientable irreducible quotient of one of the spaces in* (2.4). *Then any map $v : M \to M'$ that is non-trivial on the top cohomology is homotopic to a Weyl harmonic map.*

Remark. The hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 imply that the homotopy class $[v]$, under consideration, contains a unique harmonic representative (with respect to any metric on the domain). For the case when the Higgs field Θ (with respect to some $g \in c$) is sufficiently small a Morse theory argument [22] yields a stronger existence theorem: Weyl harmonic maps exist in any homotopy class whose moduli space of harmonic mappings has a non-zero Euler characteristic.

Example 2.1 (Equivariant harmonic maps). Let (M^*, g^*) be a (not necessarily complete) Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than two endowed with a free, co-compact, and properly discontinuous action of a discrete group Γ by homotheties. Then the action of Γ preserves the Levi-Civita connection and the conformal class of the metric g^* and these descend to the quotient M^*/Γ endowing the latter with the conformal Weyl structure. Thus, the existence assertions for Weyl harmonic maps translate into the *existence assertions for* Γ*-equivariant harmonic maps*; cf. [22]. For example, let (M', g') be a closed manifold of negative sectional curvature. Then Theorem 2.2 yields that any homomorphism $h : \Gamma \to \pi_1(M')$ whose image has a trivial centraliser is induced by a Γ-invariant harmonic map $(M^*, g^*) \to (M', g')$.

The conditions on the homotopy class in Theorem 2.2 can not be simply removed – the following example shows that the existence may fail already for closed Weyl structures.

Example 2.2 (Non-existence). Let M' be a circle and M be a Hopf surface, the quotient of $\mathbf{C}^2/\{0\}$ by the action $z_i \mapsto \lambda z_i$, where $\lambda > 1$. The conformal Kähler metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}\,(dz_1 \otimes d\overline{z_1} + dz_2 \otimes d\overline{z_2})$$

on $\mathbf{C}^2/\{0\}$ is invariant under this action and induces a locally conformally Kähler metric on M. Clearly, the closed 1-form $\Theta = -d\ln(\sum |z_i|^2)$ is well-defined on M and the Kähler form Ω on this Hopf surface satisfies the relation $d\Omega = \Theta \wedge \Omega$. The corresponding Weyl connection given by (2.1) is locally the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric $(\sum |z_i|^2) ds^2$ and, hence, preserves the complex structure on M. Thus, equation (2.2) for mappings $M \to S^1$ coincides with the Hermitian harmonic map equation, Ex. 1.2. As is shown in [18, Sect. 2] there are no non-trivial Hermitian harmonic maps from the Hopf surface to a circle with respect to any Hermitian structure on the former.

We proceed with the discussion of the uniqueness of Weyl harmonic maps.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M, g) and (M', g') be closed Riemannian manifolds. Suppose that the former is endowed with a Weyl connection preserving the conformal class of g and the latter has non-positive sectional curvature. Let u and v be homotopic Weyl harmonic maps. Then the maps u and v can be joined by a smooth one-parameter family u_s, of pseudo-harmonic maps such that for each $x \in M$ the curve $s \mapsto u_s(x)$ is a constant (independent of x) speed parameterisation of a geodesic. Moreover, the correspondence $x \mapsto (\partial/\partial s)u_s(x)$ defines a parallel section of the pull-back bundle $u_s^* TM'$. When M' has negative sectional curvature the maps u and v coincide unless the rank of both du and dv is not greater than one everywhere.*

Corollary 2.5. *Under the hypotheses in Theorem 2.2, the homotopy class $[v]$ contains a unique Weyl harmonic representative.*

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is based on the ideas of Jost and Yau in [18], where the authors study an analogous problem for the Hermitian harmonic map equation. However, since no general result on the existence of pseudo-harmonic maps is available and to make the paper more self-contained, we give a proof in Sect. 6. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is similar to this for the harmonic map equation and appears at the end of Sect. 6.

3. First elements of the Bochner technique

In this section, as a warm-up, we extend Eells-Sampson techniques [9, 41] to the setting of Weyl harmonic maps. Apparently, these yield new topological restrictions on manifolds with non-negative symmetric part of the Ricci-Weyl curvature. Throughout the rest of the paper we suppose that M and M' are closed manifolds and the dimension of the former is greater than two.

Let (M,c) be a closed conformal manifold and ∇^W be its Weyl structure. Recall that due to the theorem of Gauduchon [10] there exists a unique (up to homothety) metric $g \in c$ whose Higgs field Θ, $\nabla^W g = \Theta \otimes g$, is co-closed with respect to g; i.e. its co-differential $d^*\Theta$ vanishes. By the symbol Δ^W we denote below the Weyl laplacian on functions given by $trace_g \nabla^W d$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M,c,∇^W) and (M',g') be a conformal Weyl manifold and an arbitrary Riemannian manifold respectively. Suppose that M is endowed with a Gauduchon metric $g \in c$ and denote by Θ its Higgs field. Then for any smooth solution u of equation* (2.2) *the following relation holds*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta^W \|du\|^2 = \|\nabla du\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle R'(du\cdot e_\alpha, du\cdot e_\beta)du\cdot e_\alpha, du\cdot e_\beta\rangle_{g'}$$
$$+ \sum_\alpha \left[Ricci^W(X_\alpha, X_\alpha) + \frac{n-2}{4}\left(|\Theta|^2 |X_\alpha|^2 - \Theta(X_\alpha)^2\right)\right],$$

where $X_\alpha = (u^*\phi^\alpha)^\sharp$ and the systems $\{e_\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi^\alpha\}$ are orthonormal bases in $T_\cdot M$ and $T^*_{u(\cdot)}M'$ respectively at the point under consideration; the symbol $Ricci^W$ denotes the Ricci curvature of ∇^W, and R' stands for the curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection ∇' on M'.

Proof. It is a simple exercise to obtain from (2.1) that the Ricci tensor of ∇^W satisfies the following relation

$$Ricci^W(X,X) = Ricci(X,X) + \frac{n-2}{2}(\nabla_X \Theta)(X) - \frac{n-2}{4}\left(|\Theta|^2 |X|^2 - \Theta(X)^2\right),$$

where *Ricci* denotes the Ricci tensor of a Gauduchon metric g and the symbol ∇ stands for the Levi-Civita connection of g. Now the lemma follows by the combination of this identity with the Bochner formula in Lemma A.1 (see Appendix A). ☐

Following the lines in [41], this lemma combined with the maximum principle for Δ^W implies the following generalisation of the well-known result in harmonic map theory.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M,c,∇^W) and (M',g') be a closed conformal Weyl manifold and a Riemannian manifold of non-positive sectional curvature respectively. Suppose that M is endowed with a Gauduchon metric $g \in c$ and denote by Θ its Higgs field. Suppose also that the Ricci curvature of the Weyl connection ∇^W satisfies the following relation*

$$Ricci^W(X,X) + \frac{n-2}{4}\left(|\Theta|^2 |X|^2 - \Theta(X)^2\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for any tangent vector $X \in T_\cdot M$. Then any Weyl harmonic map is totally geodesic with respect to the Gauduchon metric g and $du(\Theta^\sharp) = 0$. Furthermore:

- *if at one point of M the quadratic form on the left-hand side in* (3.1) *has rank k, then the image $u(M)$ is a an immersed submanifold of M' of dimension not greater than $(\dim M) - k$. In particular, if this form is positive at some point then u is constant;*
- *if M' has negative sectional curvature, then u is either constant or maps M onto a closed geodesic.*

Note that when the Weyl structure is metric, relation (3.1) simply means that the Ricci tensor of the Gauduchon metric is non-negative. Certainly, condition (3.1) holds when $Ricci^W(X,X) \geqslant 0$; the latter condition is much stronger and does not illustrate the complete statement. Mention, for example, that if under the conditions of Theorem 3.2 the Ricci curvature $Ricci^W$ is non-negative and $\Theta^\sharp \neq 0$ simultaniously at some point, then any Weyl harmonic map already has to be a constant map or a map onto a closed geodesic.

Combining this theorem with the existence of Weyl harmonic maps, guaranteed by Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (M,c,∇^W) be a conformal Weyl manifold whose Ricci-Weyl tensor $Ricci^W$ is non-negative or satisfies the weaker condition* (3.1) *and (M',g') be a closed non-positively curved Riemannian manifold.*

(i) Suppose that M' carries a metric of negative sectional curvature. Then any map $v : M \to M'$ is homotopic either to a constant map or a map onto a closed geodesic. In particular, any homomorphism from $\pi_1(M)$ to the fundamental group of a closed negatively curved manifold is trivial or infinite cyclic.

(ii) Suppose M and M' have the same dimension and the latter is orientable and has non-vanishing Euler-Poincaré number $\chi(M')$. Then any map $v : M \to M'$ is trivial on the top cohomology $H^{n'}(M',\mathbf{Z}) \to H^{n'}(M,\mathbf{Z})$ unless the Weyl structure is exact and its Ricci curvature equals zero identically.

Proof. Case (i). Suppose the contrary. Let M' be a closed manifold of negative sectional curvature and $v : M \to M'$ be a smooth map which is homotopic neither to a constant map nor a map onto a closed geodesic. Then Theorem 2.2 implies that v is homotopic to a Weyl harmonic map. The latter, due to Theorem 3.2, has to be a constant or a map onto a closed geodesic - a contradiction.

Case (ii). Suppose the contrary. Let $v : M \to M'$ be a map which is non-trivial on the top cohomology; in particular, its degree does not vanish. Under the hypotheses, Theorem 2.2 applies, see the discussion in Sect. 2, – we see that there is a Weyl harmonic map u homotopic to v. First, suppose that the Weyl structure ∇^W is not exact, then the Higgs field Θ of the Gauduchon metric can not vanish everywhere and the relation $du(\Theta^\sharp) = 0$ in Theorem 3.2 implies that $\operatorname{rank}(du) < \dim M'$ in the neighbourhood of some point. Since the map u is also totally geodesic, it has constant rank and its image is a totally geodesic submanifold whose dimension has to be less than $\dim M'$ – a contradiction to the fact that the degree of u does not vanish. Thus, the Higgs field Θ has to be exact and equal to zero identically. Now Lemma 3.1 implies that it is possible only when the Ricci tensor $Ricci^W$ equals zero identically. ☐

A simple example with a torus shows that the condition on the Euler characteristic in the last assertion is necessary. Up to our knowledge these corollaries are first known topological obstructions for not necessarily closed Weyl structures with non-negative symmetric part of the Ricci-Weyl curvature in arbitrary dimension. We proceed with an application to Einstein-Weyl geometry.

Recall that a Weyl structure is called *Einstein-Weyl* if the symmetric part of the Ricci curvature $Ricci^W$ of the Weyl connection is proportional to some (and hence any) metric in the conformal class c. The following assertion gives topological obstructions to the existence of non-exact Einstein-Weyl structures, complementing the results by Gauduchon [11] and also by Pedersen and Swann [31]; cf. [3, Th. 4.9].

Corollary 3.4. *Let (M,c) be a conformal manifold endowed with a non-exact Einstein-Weyl structure ∇^W and (M',g') be a closed non-positively curved Riemannian manifold.*

- *(i) If M' carries a metric of negative sectional curvature, then any map $v: M \to M'$ is homotopic either to a constant map or a map onto a closed geodesic. In particular, any homomorphism from $\pi_1(M)$ to the fundamental group of a closed negatively curved manifold is trivial or infinite cyclic.*
- *(ii) If M' is orientable and its Euler characterictic of $\chi(M')$ does not vanish, then any map $v: M \to M'$ is trivial on the top cohomology $H^{n'}(M',\mathbf{Z}) \to H^{n'}(M,\mathbf{Z})$. In particular, the manifolds M and M' are not homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. First, due to the important result of Gauduchon [11, Th.3] the Ricci curvature $Ricci^W$ of a compact Einstein-Weyl manifold with a closed Weyl structure vanishes identically unless the latter is exact. The combination of this with Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 proves the statements when the Einstein-Weyl structure is closed. More precisely, the first statement is a consequence of Cor. 3.3. The proof of the second is based on the observation that, since $Ricci^W$ vanishes identically and Θ does not, any Weyl harmonic map u is constant or maps M onto a closed geodesic. Thus, the pull-back bundle u^*TM' has trivial Euler class and, since $\chi(M') \neq 0$, the map u has to be trivial on the top cohomology.

Now the classification theorem of Einstein-Weyl structures [3, Th. 4.7] says that non-closed Einstein-Weyl structures occur only when M admits a metric of positive Ricci curvature or the dimension of M is at most three. The first possibility is also handled by Theorems 2.2 and 3.2. In dimension three Einstein-Weyl structures on compact manifolds are completely classified by Tod [38]. In particular, non-closed ones occur only on manifolds M which are finitely covered by the sphere S^3. In this case the conclusions of the statement hold simply by topological reasons. In more detail, since $\pi_1(M')$ does not have non-trivial elements of finite order, any map $v: M \to M'$ induces a trivial map on the fundamental groups. Now since M' is a $K(\pi,1)$-space, the map v has to be null-homotopic. ☐

We end with two remarks. Firstly, Cor. 3.4 implies rigidity of Einstein-Weyl structure on some irreducible locally symmetric spaces of non-compact type: any Einstein-Weyl structure (c,∇^W) has to be genuine Einstein. Such locally symmetric spaces include orientable spaces whose universal cover is a bounded symmetric domain in $\mathbf{C}^m$ or one of the spaces

$$SO_0(p,q)/SO(p)\times SO(q), \quad Sp(p,q)/Sp(p)\times Sp(q), \text{ or } F_{-4(20)}/Spin(9);$$

see Cor. 2.3. This is in contrast with the symmetric spaces of compact type, where non-trivial Einstein-Weyl structures do exist; see [20].

Secondly, mention that the corollaries above also imply the non-existence of non-trivial Einstein-Weyl structures (or structures with non-negative symmetric part of Ricci-Weyl curvature) on certain connected sums. More precisely, let M' be a manifold as in Cor. 3.4 which satisfies (i) or (ii) and M be an arbitrary closed manifold of the same dimension. Then their connected sum does not admit a non-trivial Einstein-Weyl structure (c,∇^W). Indeed, otherwise we would have a contradiction with Cor. 3.4, since there is a map of degree one from $M'\sharp M$ to M' (for example, obtained by collapsing M into a point).

4. Pluriharmonicity of Weyl harmonic maps

4.1. Preliminaries on Kähler-Weyl structures

Let M be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n > 1$ and let J be its complex structure. A conformal manifold (M, c, J) is called *Hermitian* if c contains a Hermitian metric; thus, any metric in c is Hermitian. Following [3], the triple (M, c, ∇^W) is called a *Kähler-Weyl manifold* if the Weyl connection ∇^W preserves the compex structure on M.

Straightforward calculation shows that in complex dimension two any Hermitian conformal manifold (M, c, J) admits a unique Kähler-Weyl structure; i.e. a unique Weyl connection ∇^W such that $\nabla^W J = 0$. In higher dimensions the existence of such a Weyl connection forces M to be a *locally conformal Kähler* manifold. We recall the definition of this notion now.

A Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) is called locally conformal Kähler if there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of M such that any restriction $g|_{U_i}$ is conformally equivalent to a Kähler metric $\tilde{g}_i$,

$$g(x) = \exp(V_i(x))\tilde{g}_i(x), \quad \text{where } x \in U_i.$$

This is equivalent to the condition:

$$d\omega = \Theta \wedge \omega, \qquad d\Theta = 0,$$

where ω is the Kähler form of g and Θ is a closed 1-form (called the *Lee form*), locally defined by $\Theta|_{U_i} = dV_i$. In particular, the form Θ is exact if and only if (M, g, J) is *globally conformal Kähler*. The Levi-Civita connections of the local Kähler metrics $\tilde{g}_i$ glue together to a connection ∇^W related to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric g by the formula

$$\nabla^W_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(X)Y - \frac{1}{2}\Theta(Y)X + \frac{1}{2}g(X,Y)\Theta^\sharp.$$

The two properties $\nabla^W g = \Theta \otimes g$ and ∇^W is torsion-free show that ∇^W is a Weyl connection on the conformal Hermitian manifold $(M, [g], J)$. Besides, the connection ∇^W satisfies $\nabla^W J = 0$ and its Higgs field is precisely the Lee form Θ.

Recall that due to the theorem of Gauduchon [10] there exists a canonical Hermitian metric $g \in c$ such that the corresponding Lee form Θ is co-closed,

$$-g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}(\nabla\Theta)_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} = 0;$$

here ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of g.

Definition. A metric $g \in c$ on a Kähler-Weyl manifold (M, c, ∇^W) is called *pluricanonical*, if the $(1,1)$-part of the covariant derivative of the corresponding Lee form vanishes, $(\nabla\Theta)_{\alpha\bar{\mu}} = 0$.

First, any pluricanonical metric is canonical in the sense of the Gauduchon theorem and, in particular, is unique up to homothety. Second, if M admits a Kahler metric, then the latter is obviously pluricanonical. The large and well-studied class of locally conformal Kähler manifolds which admit pluricanonical metrics is formed by *generalised Hopf manifolds* (or also called *Vaisman manifolds*), see [8, 30]. These are manifolds satisfying a much stronger hypothesis: they admit metrics with the parallel Lee form, $\nabla\Theta = 0$.

Generalised Hopf manifolds with non-trivial Lee form do not admit Kähler metrics. The reason for this is topological – their first Betti number is odd, see [8, 30]. More generally, the *Vaisman conjecture* states that any locally conformal Kähler manifold of the "same topology" as a Kähler manifold admits a global Kähler metric. For complex surfaces the situation is satisfactory: as is known any complex surface with even first Betti number is Kähler, see [1, Sect. 1]. However, in higher dimensions there are examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds with even first Betti numbers which do not admit Kähler metrics, see [29].

In Sect. 5 we give a partial confirmation of Vaisman's principle: we show that locally conformal Kähler manifolds with pluricanonical metrics which are homotopy equivalent to compact quotients of bounded symmetric domains in $\mathbf{C}^n$ without a unit disk in $\mathbf{C}$ as a factor have to be Kähler.

4.2. Bochner-Sampson technique

Now we discuss a Bochner-type formula for Weyl harmonic maps from a Kähler-Weyl manifold M to a Riemannian manifold M'. This formula is obtained by computing an iterated Weyl-divergence of the symmetric $(1,1)$-tensor

$$\psi(X,Y) = e(u)\langle X,Y\rangle - \langle d'u(X), d''u(Y)\rangle,$$

where u is a Weyl harmonic map and $e(u)$ is its energy density $(1/2)\|du\|^2$. The first and the second inner products above are the complex linear extensions of the Riemannian metrics on M and M' respectively to the complexified tangent bundles; the $d'u$ and $d''u$ are the restriction of the complexification of du to the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent bundles respectively. Since M' is not assumed to have a complex structure, the differentials $d'u$ and $d''u$ are not the ones usual to complex manifold theory.

Consider next the Weyl harmonic map equation. If z^α denote local holomorphic coordinates on M and the u^i denote local smooth coordinates on M', then $d'u$ is represented by the matrix (u^i_α), where subscripts denote differentiation with respect to z^α. The Weyl harmonic map equation takes the form

$$g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}\nabla^W_{\bar{\mu}} u^i_\alpha = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where g is an arbitrary (Hermitian) metric in c. Here the $\nabla^W_{\bar{\mu}} u^i_\alpha$ are the components of the tensor $\tilde{\nabla}''d'u$, defined as the $(0,1)$-part of the covariant differential of $d'u$ in the natural connection on $\mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}M, u^*T^cM')$, i.e. that determined by the Weyl connection on TM and the Levi-Civita connection on TM'. Since the Weyl connection ∇^W is complex, equation (4.1) coincides with the Hermitian harmonic map equation; see Ex. 1.2.

Now construct a $(1,0)$-form by the divergence-type formula

$$\xi_\alpha = g^{\beta\bar{\gamma}}\nabla^W_\beta \psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}.$$

The latter satisfies the following extension of Sampson's formula [33] to the context of pseudo-harmonic maps.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and (M', g') be a Riemannian manifold. Then for any metric $g \in c$ a Weyl harmonic map $u : M \longrightarrow M'$ satisfies the following relation*

$$g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}\nabla^W_{\bar{\mu}}\xi_\alpha + \xi_\alpha\Theta^\alpha = g'_{ij}(\nabla^W_{\bar{\gamma}} u^i_\alpha)(\nabla^W_{\bar{\mu}} u^j_\beta)g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}g^{\beta\bar{\gamma}} - R'_{ijlm}u^i_\alpha u^j_\beta u^l_{\bar{\mu}} u^m_{\bar{\gamma}} g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}}g^{\beta\bar{\gamma}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where Θ^α are $(1,0)$-components of the Lee field $\Theta^\sharp$.

Proof. In local coordinates the quadratic differential $\psi_{\alpha\bar\gamma}$ has the form

$$\psi_{\alpha\beta} = e(u)g_{\alpha\bar\gamma} - g'_{ij}u^i_\alpha u^j_{\bar\gamma},$$

where $e(u)$ equals $g^{\delta\bar\nu}u^k_\delta u^l_{\bar\nu}g'_{kl}$. Its Weyl-divergence, the form ξ_α, satisfies the following relation

$$\xi_\alpha = g'_{ij}(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha)u^j_\beta g^{\beta\bar\gamma}. \tag{4.3}$$

Indeed, by straightforward differentiation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\alpha = g^{\beta\bar\gamma}\nabla^W_\beta \psi_{\alpha\bar\gamma} = g^{\delta\bar\nu}(\nabla^W_\alpha u^k_\delta)u^l_{\bar\nu}g'_{kl} + g^{\delta\bar\nu}u^k_\delta(\nabla^W_\alpha u^l_{\bar\nu})g'_{kl}& \\ - g^{\beta\bar\gamma}g'_{ij}(\nabla^W_\beta u^i_\alpha)u^j_{\bar\gamma} - g^{\beta\bar\gamma}g'_{ij}u^i_\alpha(\nabla^W_\beta u^j_{\bar\gamma}).&\end{aligned}$$

Since the map u satisfies equation (4.1), the last term above vanishes. Further, since the form $\nabla^W du$ is symmetric, the first and the third terms cancel out and the second coincides with the right-hand side in (4.3).

Now we compute the Weyl-divergence of ξ_α. Using formula (4.3) and the fact that the derivative $\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} g^{\beta\bar\gamma}$ equals $-\Theta_{\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma}$, we obtain

$$g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu}\xi_\alpha = g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu}\left(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha u^j_\beta\right)g^{\beta\bar\gamma}g'_{ij} - g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\Theta_{\bar\mu}\left(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha\right)u^j_\beta g^{\beta\bar\gamma}g'_{ij}.$$

Clearly, the second term above equals $\xi_\alpha\Theta^\alpha$. Following the lines in [33], the first term in the right-hand side above can be further transformed as follows

$$g'_{ij}(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha)(\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^j_\beta)g^{\alpha\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma} - R'_{ijlm}u^i_\alpha u^j_\beta u^l_{\bar\mu}u^m_{\bar\gamma}g^{\alpha\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma} + g'_{ij}\left(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha\right)u^j_\beta g^{\alpha\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma}. \tag{4.4}$$

Indeed, it equals the sum

$$g'_{ij}\left(\nabla^W_{\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha\right)u^j_\beta g^{\alpha\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma} + g'_{ij}(\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha)(\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^j_\beta)g^{\alpha\bar\mu}g^{\beta\bar\gamma}.$$

Due to the fact that the Weyl connection ∇^W preserves the complex structure J, we have

$$\nabla^W_{\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma} u^i_\alpha - \nabla^W_{\bar\gamma}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha = -R'^{\,i}_{jlm}u^j_\alpha u^l_{\bar\mu}u^m_{\bar\gamma}. \tag{4.5}$$

Substituting this into the first term above we arrive at the expression (4.4). To prove formula (4.2) it remains to show that the last term in (4.4) vanishes. In fact, a stronger relation holds: the tensor $g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha$ vanishes. To see the latter we differentiate the Weyl harmonic map equation (4.1),

$$0 = \nabla^W_{\bar\gamma}\left(g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha\right) = g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\gamma}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha - \Theta_{\bar\gamma}g^{\alpha\bar\mu}\nabla^W_{\bar\mu} u^i_\alpha.$$

Since u is a Weyl harmonic map, the last term above vanishes, and hence so does the first term on the right-hand side. $\square$

Recall that a Riemannian manifold M' is said to have *non-positive Hermitian sectional curvature* if the extension of the curvature tensor R' to the complexified tangent bundle T^cM' satisfies the relation

$$\langle R'(X,Y)\bar X, \bar Y\rangle \leqslant 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad X,Y \in T^cM'. \tag{4.6}$$

If the vector fields X and Y are real and orthonormal, then the curvature quantity above is precisely the sectional curvature of the plane they determine. However, in general the condition (4.6) is stronger than the condition of non-positive sectional curvature.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* (M, c, ∇^W) *be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and* (M', g') *be a Riemannian manifold of non-positive Hermitian sectional curvature. Let* $u : M \to M'$ *be a Weyl harmonic map. If* M *has complex dimension* 2 *or admits a pluricanonical metric, then* u *is pluriharmonic,* $\tilde{\nabla}'' d' u = 0$*, and*

$$\langle R'(X,Y)\bar{X}, \bar{Y}\rangle = 0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad X, Y \in du(T^{1,0}_x M), \quad x \in M.$$

Remark. The vanishing of the curvature term is, in fact, a consequence of the pluriharmonicity; see relation (4.5).

Proof. We prove the theorem by integrating the formula in Lemma 4.1. More precisely, the left-hand side of formula (4.2) equals

$$-d^*\xi - (n-2)\xi_\alpha \Theta^\alpha,$$

and by the curvature hypothesis each term in the right-hand side is non-negative. In the case $n = 2$, this directly implies the statement. For the rest of the proof we suppose that $n \geqslant 3$ and, hence, by the curvature hypothesis, the integral

$$\int_M (\xi_\alpha \Theta^\alpha) dVol$$

is non-positive. We claim that for a pluricanonical metric $g \in c$ this integral is also non-negative. Indeed, since the form ξ_α equals $g^{\beta\bar{\gamma}}\nabla^W_\beta \psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}$, the integration by parts yields

$$\int_M (\xi_\alpha \Theta^\alpha) dVol = -(n-2)\int_M (\psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}\Theta^\alpha\Theta^{\bar{\gamma}}) dVol - \int_M \psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}(g^{\beta\bar{\gamma}} g^{\alpha\bar{\mu}} \nabla^W_\beta \Theta_{\bar{\mu}}) Vol. \tag{4.7}$$

The relation between the Weyl and Levi-Civita connections also yields the identity

$$(\nabla^W \Theta)_{\beta\bar{\mu}} = (\nabla\Theta)_{\beta\bar{\mu}} + \Theta_\beta\Theta_{\bar{\mu}} - (1/2) g_{\beta\bar{\mu}} |\Theta|^2.$$

Since the metric g is pluricanonical, the term $(\nabla\Theta)_{\beta\bar{\mu}}$ vanishes, and substituting the above into formula (4.7), we obtain

$$\int_M (\xi_\alpha \Theta^\alpha) dVol = -(n-1)\int_M (\psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}\Theta^\alpha\Theta^{\bar{\gamma}}) Vol + (1/2)\int_M (g^{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}\psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}) |\Theta|^2 dVol.$$

It is straightforward to see that the first integrand on the right-hand side satisfies the relation

$$\psi_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}\Theta^\alpha\Theta^{\bar{\gamma}} = (1/2)e(u)|\Theta|^2 - g'_{ij} u^i_\alpha u^j_{\bar{\gamma}} \Theta^\alpha\Theta^{\bar{\gamma}}$$

and the second equals $(n-1)e(u)|\Theta|^2$. Thus, we arrive at the formula

$$\int_M (\xi_\alpha \Theta^\alpha) dVol = (n-1)\int_M (g'_{ij} u^i_\alpha u^j_{\bar{\gamma}} \Theta^\alpha\Theta^{\bar{\gamma}}) dVol.$$

Since the right-hand side here is real and non-negative, we are done. ☐

4.3. Abelian subalgebras of symmetric spaces

We specialise the considerations above to the case when M' is a locally symmetric space of non-compact type. This means that the universal cover of M' is a symmetric space G/K, where G is a connected Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is semi-simple and non-compact, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, and G/K is given the invariant metric determined by the Killing form. We have the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of K and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a K-invariant complement of $\mathfrak{k}$. The Killing form is positive definite on $\mathfrak{p}$ and negative definite on $\mathfrak{k}$; see [14]. We also have the relations

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}, \qquad [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}.$$

For our purposes it will be harmless to identify the tangent space $T_u M'$ at any fixed point $u \in M'$ with $\mathfrak{p}$. Under this identification the curvature tensor is given by

$$R'(X,Y)Z = [[X,Y],Z], \qquad X,Y,Z \in \mathfrak{p},$$

and the Hermitian sectional curvature on $T^c M'$ is given by

$$\langle R'(X,Y)\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \rangle = \langle [X,Y], [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \rangle, \qquad X,Y \in \mathfrak{p}^c.$$

The latter is non-positive, and vanishes if and only if $[X,Y] = 0$, since the Killing form is negative definite on $\mathfrak{k}$.

Now we can re-phrase Theorem 4.2 in the following form:

Theorem 4.3. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and (M', g') be a locally symmetric space of non-compact type. Let $u : M \to M'$ be a Weyl harmonic map. If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then u is pluriharmonic and for any $x \in M$ the image of $T_x^{1,0} M$ under $du(x)$ is an Abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^c$.*

Denote by $\mathfrak{a}$ the image of $T_x^{1,0} M$ under $du(x)$. The corresponding image of the real tangent space $T_x M$ is the subspace of real vectors of the space $\mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$, so that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{R}} du(T_x M) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathfrak{a} + \bar{\mathfrak{a}}) \leqslant 2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{a}.$$

Combining this inequality with Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\operatorname{rank}(du) \leqslant 2 \max\{\dim(\mathfrak{a}) : \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}^c, [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] = 0\}. \tag{4.8}$$

Observe that if G/K is a Hermitian symmetric space, then corresponding to an invariant complex structure on G/K we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{p}^c = \mathfrak{p}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^{0,1}.$$

Recall that the complex structure on G/K belongs to the centre of the linear isotropy algebra [14, VIII.4.5] and, hence, extends to $\mathfrak{g}^c$ as a derivation which vanishes on $\mathfrak{k}^c$. This together with the relation $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ implies that $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ is an Abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^c$.[2]

The following algebraic theorem is due to Carlson and Toledo [4].

Abelian subspaces theorem I. *Let G/K be a symmetric space of non-compact type that does not contain the hyperbolic plane as a factor. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}^c$ be an Abelian subspace. Then $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) \leqslant (1/2)\dim(\mathfrak{p}^c)$. Besides, the equality holds if and only if G/K is Hermitian symmetric and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ for an invariant complex structure on G/K.*

As an immediate consequence we have the following statement.

Corollary 4.4. *Let M and M' be as in Theorem 4.3 and $u : M \to M'$ be a Weyl-harmonic map. Suppose also that M' is not locally Hermitian symmetric. If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then for every $x \in M$, the rank $du(x)$ is strictly smaller than the dimension of M'.*

---

[2] As was pointed out by D. Alekseevsky, the argument in [4] used to show that $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ is an Abelian subspace is incorrect.

Above we used only the case of strict inequality in the Abelian subspaces theorem. The case of equality yields the following extension of Siu's result to Weyl-harmonic maps.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and (M', g') be a locally Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type whose universal cover does not contain the hyperbolic plane as a factor. Let $u : M \to M'$ be a Weyl harmonic map such that the rank of $du(x)$ equals the dimension of M' for some $x \in M$. If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then u is holomorphic with respect to an invariant complex structure on M'.*

Proof. Since $du(T_x^{1,0}M)$ is an Abelian subspace of the half dimension, it must be $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ for an invariant complex structure on M'. Thus $du(x)$ maps $T_x^{1,0}M$ into $\mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ and, hence, is complex linear. Since having a maximal rank is an open condition, the linear operator $du(x)$ is complex linear on an open subset of M; i.e. the map u is holomorphic on an open subset of M. Now the version of Siu's unique continuation theorem for Hermitian harmonic maps (see Appendix B) implies that u is holomorphic everywhere with respect to an invariant complex structure on M'. $\square$

For each symmetric space G/K let $\nu(G/K)$ denote the maximum complex dimension of an Abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^c$. By Abelian subspaces theorem I, we have the inequality $\nu(G/K) < (1/2)\dim(G/K)$ provided G/K is not Hermitian symmetric. For hyperbolic spaces over various division algebras the following theorem, due to [4] and [6], gives more precise information.

Abelian subspaces theorem II. *Let $H^m_{\mathbf{K}}$ denote the hyperbolic space of $\mathbf{K}$-dimension m over the division algebra $\mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, or $\mathbf{C}$, or the quaternions $\mathbf{H}$, or the octonions $\mathbf{O}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an Abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}^c$ where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan decomposition of the group of isometries of $H^m_{\mathbf{K}}$. Then:*

(i) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, $\nu(H^m_{\mathbf{K}}) = 1$.*

(ii) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$, and $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) > 1$, then $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{1,0}$ for one of the two invariant complex structures on $H^m_{\mathbf{C}}$; besides, we have $\nu(H^m_{\mathbf{K}}) = m$.*

(iii) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}$, $\nu(H^m_{\mathbf{K}}) = m$.*

(iv) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{O}$, $\nu(H^2_{\mathbf{K}}) = 2$.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let M be a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold which has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric. Let $u : M \to M'$ be a Weyl-harmonic map, where M' is a quotient of $H^m_{\mathbf{K}}$. Then:*

(i) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, the rank of u is at most two.*

(ii) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{C}$ and the rank of u exceeds two at some point $x \in M$, then u is holomorphic with respect to one of the two complex structures on M'.*

(iii) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}$, the rank of u is at most $2m$, one-half of the (real) dimension of M'.*

(iv) *if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{O}$, the rank of u is at most four.*

Proof. The cases (i), (iii), and (iv) are an immediate application of the rank estimate (4.8). The case (ii) follows in the same fashion as Theorem 4.5 follows from the Abelian subspaces theorem I. $\square$

5. Applications to conformal Kähler geometry

5.1. Topological comparisons of Kähler-Weyl manifolds and locally symmetric spaces.

In this section we collect applications of the results above to the topology of Kähler-Weyl manifolds. Our conclusions are extensions of the results for Kähler manifolds to a significantly larger class of complex manifolds. We start with the following rigidity theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and (M', g') be a locally Hermitian symmetric space of non-compact type whose universal cover does not contain the hyperbolic plane as a factor. Suppose that there exists a homotopy equivalence $u : M \to M'$. If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then u is homotopic to a biholomorphism for some invariant complex structure on M'.*

Proof. Since the homotopy equivalence u induces the isomorphism on the cohomology, Cor. 2.3 implies that u is homotopic to a Weyl-harmonic map, which we also denote by u. Since u can not have the rank less than the dimension of M' everywhere, by Theorem 4.5 it is holomorphic. Now we claim that the existence of the holomorphic homotopy equivalence $u : M \to M'$ implies that M admits a global Kähler metric.

First, suppose that M is a complex surface. Then, since it is homotopy equivalent to a Kahler manifold, its first Betti number is even and it has to be itself Kähler, see [1, Sect. 1]. Now suppose that the complex dimension $n > 2$ and, hence, M is locally conformal Kähler. Choose a background metric $g \in c$ and let Θ be its Lee form. It is straightforward to show that the *anti-Lee* form $\Theta^\dagger = \Theta \circ J$ satisfies the relation $d\Theta^\dagger = 2i\bar{\partial}\Theta^{1,0}$, i.e. $d\Theta^\dagger$ is a real exact form of type $(1,1)$. Since u is holomorphic and is an isomorphism on the first cohomology, replacing the metric on M by the conformal one we can suppose that Θ is the pull-back of a closed 1-form Λ on M' and $\Theta^\dagger$ is the pull-back of $\Lambda^\dagger$, where $\Lambda^\dagger = \Lambda \circ J$. But on a Kähler manifold the $(1,1)$-form $d\Lambda^\dagger$ is $\partial\bar{\partial}$-exact and, hence, so is the form $d\Theta^\dagger$. In other words, there exists a function φ on M such that $d\Theta^\dagger = 2i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$. Now the computation of Vaisman in [39] shows that the metric $h = \exp(\varphi)g$ is in fact Kähler. We describe it below for the completeness.

Let $\tilde{\Theta}$ be the Lee form of the new metric h. The above yields $\bar{\partial}\Theta^{1,0} = \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ and, hence, the $(1,0)$-part of $\tilde{\Theta}$ is a holomorphic form,

$$\bar{\partial}\tilde{\Theta}^{1,0} = \bar{\partial}\Theta^{1,0} + \bar{\partial}\partial\varphi = 0.$$

Since the Weyl connection is complex, this means that $\nabla^W_{\bar{\mu}}\tilde{\Theta}_\alpha = 0$ and in terms of the Levi-Civita connection of h reads as

$$-\tilde{\Theta}_{\bar{\mu}}\tilde{\Theta}_\alpha + h_{\alpha\bar{\mu}}h^{\beta\bar{\gamma}}\tilde{\Theta}_\alpha\tilde{\Theta}_{\bar{\gamma}} = 0.$$

The latter yields the relation

$$d^*_h\tilde{\Theta}^{1,0} = -((n-1)/2)\left|\tilde{\Theta}\right|^2_h.$$

Now the integration over M implies that $\tilde{\Theta}$ vanishes, demonstrating the claim.

Finally, we show that u is a biholomorphism. Suppose the contrary. Then its singular locus C, defined by $\det(\partial u/\partial z)$=0, is a complex-analytic subvariety of M and defines a non-trivial homology class in $H_{2n-2}(M, \mathbf{R})$. By the argument of Siu [36], the image $u(C)$ is a subvariety of M' of complex codimension at least two. More precisely, for otherwise there exists a point $p \in C$ that is isolated in $u^{-1}(u(p))$. Using a local coordinate chart (z^α) on M at p, we see that the Riemann removable singularity theorem applies to $z^\alpha \circ u^{-1}$ on

$V \backslash u(C)$ for some open neighbourhood V of $u(p)$ in M' and u is locally diffeomorphic at p. This contradicts to the supposition that $p \in C$. Thus the image $u(C)$ is null-homologous in $H_{2n-2}(M', \mathbf{R})$, contradicting to the fact that u is a homotopy equivalence. ☐

The rank estimates in Sect. 4 imply the following topological restrictions on maps from compact Kähler-Weyl manifolds to locally symmetric spaces of non-Hermitian type.

Corollary 5.2. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold and (M', g') be an orientable compact locally symmetric space of non-compact type. Suppose that M' is not locally Hermitian symmetric and its Euler characteristic does not vanish (for example, one of the spaces in Cor. 2.3). If M has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric, then any map $v : M \to M'$ is trivial on the top cohomology $H^{n'}(M', \mathbf{Z}) \to H^{n'}(M, \mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary: there exists a map $v : M \to M'$ non-trivial on the top cohomology. Then by Theorem 2.2 v is homotopic to a Weyl harmonic map u. Now Cor. 4.4 implies that u is not surjective, hence by standard topology, can be deformed to a map whose image lies in a proper subskeleton of some cell subdivision of M'. In particular, the latter vanishes on the top cohomology – a contradiction. ☐

Corollary 5.3. *Let (M, c, ∇^W) be a Kähler-Weyl manifold which has complex dimension 2 or admits a pluricanonical metric. Let (M', g') be a compact quotient of $H^m_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $u : M \to M'$ an arbitrary map. Then:*

(i) if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$, u is trivial on homology in dimension greater than two.

(iii) if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}$, u is trivial on homology in dimension greater than $2m$, one-half of the (real) dimension of M'.

(iv) if $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{O}$, u is trivial on homology in dimension greater than four.

Proof. If a given map u is homotopic to a constant map or a map onto a closed geodesic, than the statements of the corollary are trivial. Otherwise Theorem 2.2 implies that u is homotopic to a Weyl-harmonic map and the statements follow from the rank estimates in Corollary 4.6. ☐

Note that as special case the corollary above contains the following statement: *any map of a compact complex surface to a compact manifold of constant negative curvature induces the trivial map on homology in dimension greater than two. In particular, a compact 4-dimensional manifold of constant negative curvature does not admit a complex structure.* The last part of the statement is also a consequence of the results of Wall and Kotschick (corollary of [40, Th. 10.5], corrected by [24, Prop. 2]) and Carlson and Toledo [5, Cor 1.3].

In comparison with Kähler geometry, little is known about topological obstructions to the existence of locally conformal Kähler metrics (in complex dimension greater than two).[3] The following statement gives a construction of manifolds which are not homotopy equivalent to Kähler-Weyl manifolds with pluricanonical metrics.

Corollary 5.4. *Let M and M' be manifolds of the same dimension and suppose that M' is a locally symmetric space of non-compact type.*

(i) Suppose that M' is an orientable irreducible space whose universal cover is

$$SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q), \quad Sp(p,q)/Sp(p) \times Sp(q), \text{ or } F_{-4(20)}/Spin(9),$$

then the connected sum $M \sharp M'$ is not homotopy equivalent to a Kähler-Weyl manifold with a pluricanonical metric.

---

[3] Here we assume that any Kähler metric is, of course, locally conformal Kähler.

(ii) Suppose that M' is locally Hermitian symmetric and $\pi_1(M) \neq 0$, then the connected sum $M\sharp M'$ is not homotopy equivalent to a Kähler-Weyl manifold with a pluricanonical metric.

Proof. Suppose the contrary and let X be a Kähler-Weyl manifold with a pluricanonical metric of the same homotopy type as the connected sum $M\sharp M'$. Then there is a map of degree one from X to M', obtained by applying the homotopy equivalence and then collapsing M into a point. Now the statement of part (i) follows from Cor. 5.2 and 5.3. To prove part (ii), we use Cor. 2.3 and Th. 4.5 to conclude that the degree one map is homotopic to a holomorphic map. Further, since it vanishes on the subgroup $\pi_1(M)$, the contradiction follows from the following observation of Jost and Yau [18, Lemm. 9]: a holomorphic map of degree ±1 between compact complex manifolds is injective on the fundamental group. ☐

5.2. Co-compact lattices in $SO(1,n)$

In this section we show that if a co-compact lattice in $SO(1,n)$ with $n > 2$ is the fundamental group of a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold, then the latter can not be a complex surface and can not admit a pluricanonical metric. This is an extension of the results by Carlson and Toledo [4, 5] which say that no such lattice can be the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold or a compact complex surface. The proof is based on the following factorisation theorem, which is a sharpened version of the results due to Carlson and Toledo [4] and Jost and Yau [17].

Factorisation theorem. *Let M be a complex manifold and M' be a manifold of constant negative curvature. Let $u : M \to M'$ be a pluriharmonic map such that the rank of du is at most two and equals two on an open and dense subset of M. Then there exists a compact Riemannian surface S and a holomorphic map $h : M \to S$ and a harmonic map $\phi : S \to M'$ such that $u = \phi \circ h$.*

Proof. Step 1: Introducing a holomorphic foliation. Let U be a coordinate ball in M such that the rank of du equals two on U. It is straightforward to see that the rank of $du(x)$ equals two if and only if the image of $T^{1,0}_x M$ under $du(x)$ is complex one-dimensional and contains no real vectors. Denote by z^α the holomorphic coordinates on U and by ∂_α the corresponding coordinate vector fields. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the image of the first coordinate vector $d'u(\partial_1)$, denoted by X, does not vanish. Then the images $d'u(\partial_\alpha)$ are spanned by X,

$$d'u(\partial_\alpha) = q_\alpha X,$$

and the functions q_α are holomorphic. To demonstrate the latter we use the hypothesis that u is pluriharmonic:

$$0 = (\widetilde{\nabla} du)_{\alpha\bar\beta} = \nabla'_{\bar\beta}(du(\partial_\alpha)) = \nabla'_{\bar\beta}(q_\alpha X) = (\partial_{\bar\beta} q_\alpha)X + q_\alpha \nabla'_{\bar\beta}(du(\partial_1)) = (\partial_{\bar\beta} q_\alpha)X.$$

Above ∇' and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denote the natural connections on the bundles u^*T^cM' and bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(T^cM, u^*T^cM')$ respectively; the latter is determined by the torsion-free complex connection on M and the connection ∇' on M'. The distribution given by the vectors $(\partial_\alpha - q_\alpha \partial_1)$, where $\alpha > 1$, is a holomorphic kernel of du and is closed under the Lie bracket. By the complex Frobenius theorem, we obtain a holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$ on the set of $M\backslash\mathscr{X}$ where du has rank two, and the map u is constant on its leaves.

Note that for a Hermitian metric on M, the map u solves the corresponding Hermitian harmonic map equation. Choosing a real-analytic metric, it follows that u is real-analytic and the set $\mathscr{X}$, where the rank of du does not equal two, is a real-analytic subvariety of M.

Step 2: Extending the holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$. We outline the arguments of Mok [27, Prop. (2.2.1)] showing that $\mathscr{F}$ extends to a holomorphic foliation on $M\backslash\mathscr{Z}$, where $\mathscr{Z}$ is a complex analytic subvariety of complex codimension at least two.

By the discussion in Sect. 4, since u is pluriharmonic, we have

$$R'(X,Y)=0 \quad \text{for all} \quad X,Y\in du(T^{1,0}_xM), \quad x\in M.$$

This in turn is equivalent to $(d''_{\nabla'})^2=0$, where

$$d''_{\nabla'}: A^{0,k}(M,u^*T^cM')\longrightarrow A^{0,k+1}(M,u^*T^cM')$$

is the (0,1)-part of the differential on the (u^*T^cM')-valued forms, determined by the connections on M and M'. The latter is the integrability condition that allows to define the Koszul-Malgrange complex structure on u^*T^cM', see [23]: a local section s of u^*T^cM' is holomorphic if and only if the $d''_{\nabla'}s=0$. This complex structure turns $\mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}M,u^*T^cM')$ into a holomorphic vector bundle, and since u is pluriharmonic, $d'u$ is a holomorphic section of it.

Denote by $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{Hom}$ the projectivisation of $\mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}M,u^*T^cM')$ and by $[d'u]$ the image of $d'u$ under the natural projection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}M,u^*T^cM')\backslash\{\text{zero-section}\}\longrightarrow \mathbf{P}\mathrm{Hom}.$$

Clearly, $[d'u]$ is a holomorphic section of $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{Hom}$ over $M\backslash\mathscr{X}$. Since $\mathscr{X}$ is real-analytic, by [27, Prop. (2.2.2)] this section extends meromorphically to M. Denote the extension by λ; it is holomorphic everywhere except for the set of indeterminacies, which is a complex analytic subvariety $\mathscr{Z}$ of complex codimension at least two. It is then straightforward to show that the distribution

$$D_x=\{v\in T^{1,0}_xM:\eta(v)=0 \text{ for all } \eta\in \mathrm{Hom}(T^{1,0}_xM,T^c_{u(x)}M') \text{ such that } [\eta]=\lambda\}$$

is integrable and defines a holomorphic foliation on $M\backslash\mathscr{Z}$, which is an extension of $\mathscr{F}$.

Note that $\mathscr{Z}$ is contained in the zero-set of $d'u$ and, hence, in the zero-set of du. Thus, the map u is constant on its connected components.

Step 3: Factorisation via a holomorphic equivalence relation. Consider the set

$$V_0=\{(x,y)\in M\times M: u(x)=u(y)\}.$$

It is a real-analytic subvariety of $M\times M$ and let $V_0=\cup V^k$ be its decomposition into irreducible components. Clearly, the diagonal of $M\times M$ is contained in some branch V^i. Since $\mathscr{F}$ is holomorphic, V^i is complex analytic at any smooth point and, by the theorem of Diederich-Fornæss [7], is a complex analytic subvariety. Thus, the set of the complex analytic components V^k's such that

$$V^k\cap(M\backslash\mathscr{X})\times(M\backslash\mathscr{X})\neq\varnothing$$

is non-empty. Denote by V their union and define

$$\mathfrak{R}=V\cap(M\backslash\mathscr{Z})\times(M\backslash\mathscr{Z}).$$

As in [28, Prop. (2.2)] one shows that $\mathfrak{R}$ is the graph of an open holomorphic equivalence relation on $M\backslash\mathscr{Z}$. The quotient space $(M\backslash\mathscr{Z})/\mathfrak{R}$ is endowed with a natural structure sheaf by assigning to every open subset U the set of holomorphic functions on $h^{-1}(U)$; here

$$h : M\backslash\mathscr{Z} \longrightarrow (M\backslash\mathscr{Z})/\mathfrak{R}$$

denotes the natural projection. By the result of Kaup [19], the ringed space $(M\backslash\mathscr{Z})/\mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic to a normal complex space, and the projection h is holomorphic. Since the generic fiber of h has complex codimension one, we conclude that $(M\backslash\mathscr{Z})/\mathfrak{R}$ is one-dimensional. Since the latter is also normal, it is necessarily a smooth Riemann surface. By the Riemann extension theorem, the map h extends holomorphically to M, and we denote by S its image – a compact Riemann surface. By the definition of $\mathfrak{R}$, the map u factors through h on $M\backslash\mathscr{Z}$. Since the former is constant on the connected components of $\mathscr{Z}$, this factorisation extends to M.

From the above we see that there exists a continuous map $\phi : S \to M'$ such that $u = \phi \circ h$; it remains to show that ϕ is harmonic. First, by the theorem of Eells and Sampson [9], the map ϕ is homotopic to a harmonic map ϕ'. Since S is a Riemannain surface, the map ϕ' is also Hermitian harmonic and, since the map h is holomorphic, the composition $\phi' \circ h$ is a Hermitian harmonic map. Since the latter is homotopic to u and the rank of du is greater than one generically, Theorem 2.4 implies that u is a unique Hermitian harmonic map in its homotopy class, and the maps ϕ and ϕ' coincide. ☐

Now we state the principal application.

Theorem 5.5. *Let Γ be a co-compact discrete subgroup of $SO(1,n)$ with $n > 2$. If Γ is the fundamental group of a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold, then the latter can not be a complex surface and can not admit a pluricanonical metric.*

Proof. By passing to a subgroup of finite index, we may assume that Γ is torsion-free. An Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\Gamma,1)$ can be constructed as the quotient $\Gamma\backslash D$, where $D = SO(1,n)/SO(n)$ is the hyperbolic n-space. Let M be a compact Kähler-Weyl manifold whose fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is isomorphic to Γ. The isomorphism is induced by a smooth map $u : M \to \Gamma\backslash D$ which classifies the universal cover of M. Since Γ is co-compact and of cohomological dimension greater than two, it can not be $\mathbf{Z}$ and the map u is not homotopic to a map onto a closed geodesic. Thus, by Theorem 2.2 we may assume that u is a Weyl-harmonic map. Now suppose the contrary to the statement of the theorem. Then Theorem 4.3 and Cor. 4.6 imply that u is pluriharmonic and the rank of du is at most two. Since u is not homotopic to a map onto a closed geodesic, Proposition 1.2 shows that the rank of du, in fact, equals two on an open and dense subset of M. Now the Factorisation theorem applies: the map u factors as $\phi \circ h$, where $h : M \to S$ and S is a Riemannian surface. Since u is isomorphic on the fundamental groups, the homomorphism $h_* : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(S)$ is injective and Γ is identified with a subgroup in $\pi_1(S)$. The latter acts freely on the universal cover of S, which has to be contractible. Hence, the cohomological dimension of Γ is at most two. However, since $\Gamma\backslash D$ is a $K(\Gamma,1)$-space, the cohomological dimension of Γ is in fact n. Since $n > 2$, we are in the presence of a contradiction. ☐

6. Proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 2.4

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 2.2. Throughout we suppose that M' has non-positive sectional curvature. We start with introducing some notation.

6.1. Preliminaries

Let $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}'$ be universal covers of manifolds M and M' respectively. Then the fundamental groups $\pi_1(M,\cdot)$ and $\pi_1(M',\cdot)$ act by isometries on them such that

$$M = \tilde{M}/\pi_1(M,\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad M' = \tilde{M}'/\pi_1(M',\cdot).$$

The distance function $\tilde{r} : \tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}' \to \mathbf{R}$ is well-defined and smooth outside the diagonal; by r we denote the induced function on the quotient $(\tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}')/\pi_1(M',\cdot)$.

Now let u and v be homotopic maps from M to M' and H be a homotopy between them. Its lifting to the universal covers defines the liftings $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{v}$ of these maps. Further, the map $\tilde{w} : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}'$, given by $x \mapsto (\tilde{u}(x), \tilde{v}(x))$, is equivariant with respect to the action of the fundamental groups and, hence, descends to a map

$$w : M \longrightarrow (\tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}')/\pi_1(M',\cdot).$$

Finally, we define the function $\rho_H(u,v)$ as the composition $r \circ w$. The function $\rho_H^2(u,v)$ is smooth on M, and we give an inequality for its Weyl laplacian below (Lemma 6.1). First, we introduce some more notation.

For a point $(u,v) \in \tilde{M}' \times \tilde{M}'$ choose an orthonormal basis $e_1,\dots,e_{n'}$ for $T_u\tilde{M}'$. By parallel transport along the shortest geodesics from u to v we also obtain a basis $\overline{e_1},\dots,\overline{e_{n'}}$ for $T_v\tilde{M}'$ and consider the frame $e_1,\dots,e_{n'},\overline{e_1},\dots,\overline{e_{n'}}$ as a basis for $T_u\tilde{M}' \times T_u\tilde{M}'$. Let $\omega^1,\dots,\omega^n$ be an orthonormal basis for T^*_xM. Then for maps u and v their differentials $du(x)$ and $dv(x)$ at a point $x \in M$ can be decomposed as $u^i_\alpha e_i \otimes \omega^\alpha$ and $v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha e_{\bar{\imath}} \otimes \omega^\alpha$ respectively. Analogously, the second fundamental forms have the coefficients $u^i_{\alpha\beta}$ and $v^{\bar{\imath}}_{\alpha\beta}$. In particular, the tensions fields satisfy the relations

$$\tau^i(u) = \sum_\alpha u^i_{\alpha\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^{\bar{\imath}}(v) = \sum_\alpha v^{\bar{\imath}}_{\alpha\alpha}.$$

The following statement is a pseudo-version of the calculation due to Schoen and Yau [35]. The symbol Δ^W denotes the Weyl laplacian, given by $trace_g \nabla^W d$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose M' has non-positive sectional curvature and M is endowed with a Weyl connection ∇^W. Then for any homotopic maps $u,v : M \to M'$ and a given homotopy H between them the Weyl laplacian of the function $\rho^2 = \rho^2_H(u,v)$ satisfies the following inequality*

$$\Delta^W \rho^2 \geqslant 2\sum_{i,\alpha}(u^i_\alpha - v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha)^2 - 2\rho\left(\left|\tau^W(u)\right| + \left|\tau^W(v)\right|\right). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. First, we obviously have

$$(\rho^2)_\alpha = 2\rho\rho_\alpha = 2\rho(r_i u^i_\alpha + r_{\bar{\imath}} v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha).$$

It is a straightforward calculation, cf. [35, p.368], to show the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^W \rho^2 = \sum_\alpha (\rho^2)_{\alpha\alpha} - ((n-2)/2)(\rho^2)_\alpha \Theta^\alpha &= \sum_\alpha (r^2)_{X_\alpha X_\alpha} + 2\rho \sum_\alpha (r_i u^i_{\alpha\alpha} + r_{\bar{\imath}} v^{\bar{\imath}}_{\alpha\alpha}) \\ - (n-2)\rho(r_i u^i_\alpha + r_{\bar{\imath}} v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha)\Theta^\alpha &= \sum_\alpha (r^2)_{X_\alpha X_\alpha} + 2\rho(r_i \tau^W(u)^i + r_{\bar{\imath}} \tau^W(v)^{\bar{\imath}}),\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where the vectors $X_\alpha = u^i_\alpha e_i + v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha e_{\bar{\imath}}$ and the summation convention for the repeated indices is used troughout. As is shown in [35, p.365],

$$\sum_\alpha (r^2)_{X_\alpha X_\alpha} \geqslant 2\sum_{i,\alpha}(u^i_\alpha - v^{\bar{\imath}}_\alpha)^2,$$

if M' has non-positive sectional curvature. Finally, choose the orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ such that e_1 is tangent to the shortest geodesic joining u and v. Then the first variation formula implies that $\nabla r = \bar{e}_1 - e_1$. This yields the following relation

$$2\rho(r_i \tau^W(u)^i + r_{\bar{\imath}} \tau^W(u)^{\bar{\imath}}) \geqslant -2\rho(|\tau^W(u)| + |\tau^W(u)|).$$

Combining the last two inequalities with the formula for $\Delta^W \rho^2$ we demonstrate the lemma. □

The next assertion follows directly from the inequality above by integration by parts in the left-hand side.

Corollary 6.2. *Under the conditions of Lemma 6.1, we have the following inequality*

$$E(u) \leqslant 2E(v) + C\int_M \rho^2 dVol_g + \int_M \rho(|\tau^W(u)| + |\tau^W(v)|) dVol_g,$$

where the positive constant C depends only on the metric g and the Higgs field $\Theta^\sharp$ on M and their derivatives.

6.2. Key estimates

We prove the existence of Weyl harmonic maps via considering the corresponding heat flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t,x) = \tau^W(u)(t,x), \qquad u(0,x) = u^0(x), \qquad x \in M,\ t \in [0,+\infty); \tag{6.3}$$

where u^0 is a continuous map in a given homotopy class. We show that a solution of this parabolic equation exists for all $t \in [0,+\infty)$ – we assume throughout that the sectional curvature of M' is non-positive. Moreover, if u^0 belongs to a homotopy class which satisfies suppositions of Theorem 2.2, then there exists a sequence $t_n \to +\infty$ such that $u(t_n,\cdot)$ converges smoothly to a Weyl harmonic map.

Linearising this parabolic equation and using results on linear parabolic systems and the implicit function theorem, it follows in a standard manner that equation (6.3) has a solution for small t and, by the semi-group property, the interval of existence in $[0,+\infty)$ is open. To show that it is closed, and hence the solution exists for all t, we first state the following claims.

Claim 1. *Let $u(t,x)$ be a solution of equation* (6.3) *on the interval $[0,T)$. Then the quantity $\sup_{x\in M} \|(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2$ is non-increasing in $t \in [0,T)$.*

Proof. Since the sectional curvature of M' is non-positive, the second Bochner identity in Lemma A.2 implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 \geqslant 0.$$

Now the claim follows from the parabolic maximum principle. □

Claim 2. *Let $u(t,x)$ be a solution of equation* (6.3) *on the interval $[0,T)$. Then it satisfies the following point-wise bound*

$$\|du(t,x)\|^2 \leqslant C_1 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t} \int_M \|du(\tau,x)\|^2 dVol_g(x),$$

for $\delta \leqslant t < T$, where $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small; the positive constant C_1 depends on the geometry of M, the Higgs form Θ, and δ.

Proof. Since the sectional curvature of M' is non-positive, the first Bochner identity in Lemma A.2 implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\|du(t,x)\|^2 \geqslant -C_* \|du(t,x)\|^2,$$

where $du(t,x)$ denotes the differential with respect to $x \in M$ and the positive constant C_* depends on the bound for the Ricci curvature of M and the C^1-norm of the Higgs form Θ. Now a standard estimate for parabolic inequalities implies the claim. More precisely, for any sufficiently small $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ we have the following estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{[t-\delta/2,t]\\ B(x,R/2)}} \|du(t,x)\|^2 \leqslant CR^{-(n+2)/2}\left(1+\delta^{-1/2}R\right)\int_{t-\delta}^{t}\int_M \|du(\tau,x)\|^2\, dVol\, d\tau,$$

where $t \geqslant \delta$; for the details we refer to [25, Ch. III]. ☐

Lemma 6.3. *Let (M,g) and (M',g') be closed Riemannian manifolds. Suppose that M' has non-positive sectional curvature and M is endowed with a Weyl connection ∇^W. Then for a continuous map $u^0 : M \to M'$ there exists a solution of equation* (6.3) *for any positive time t.*

Proof. As was mentioned above the set formed by the t's for which a solutions exists is open and non-empty. By $\rho^2(t,x) = \rho^2(u(t,x),u^0(x))$ we denote the squared distance along a geodesic homotopy between $u(t,x)$ and $u^0(x)$ such that the corresponding geodesics are homotopic to the paths given by the heat flow. Now the combination of Claim 1 and Corollary 6.2 implies the estimate

$$\int_M \|du(t,x)\|^2\, dVol_g(x) \leqslant \bar{C}_1 \int_M \rho^2(t,x) dVol_g(x) + \bar{C}_2.$$

By Claim 2, we further obtain

$$\|du(t,x)\|^2 \leqslant \bar{C}_3 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t}\sup_{x\in M}\rho^2(\tau,x) + \bar{C}_4, \tag{6.4}$$

where t is greater than some $\delta > 0$. Note also that

$$\rho^2(\tau,x) \leqslant \tau^2 \sup_{s\in[0,\tau]} \|(\partial u/\partial t)(s,x)\| \leqslant \bar{C}_5 \tau^2;$$

in the last inequality we used Claim 1. Combining this with the previous relation, we arrive at the upper bound

$$\|du(t,x)\|^2 \leqslant C(1+t^2)$$

with some positive constant C which is independent of t and x. Since by Claim 1 we also have an upper bound on $\|(\partial u/\partial t)(t,x)\|$, regularity theory for linear (elliptic and parabolic) equations yields $C^{2,\alpha}$-estimates for a solution of equation (6.3). This implies that the set of the t's where a solution exists is closed and, hence, a solution exists globally. ☐

The next two estimates are concerned with the values of t greater than some $\delta > 0$.

Claim 3. *A solution $u(t,x)$ of equation* (6.3) *satisfies the following estimate*

$$\sup_{x\in M}\rho^2(t,x) \leqslant C_2 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t}\left(\inf_{x\in M}\rho^2(\tau,x) + \sup_{x\in M}\rho(\tau,x)\right).$$

Here $\rho(t,x) = \rho(u(t,x),u^0(x))$ denotes the distance along geodesics which are homotopic to the paths given by the heat flow. The positive constant C_2 depends on the C^2-norm of u^0, a bound for $\|(\partial u/\partial t)\|$, and the same quantities as the constant C_1 in Claim 2.

Proof. First, the combination of Lemma 6.1 and Claim 1 yields the inequality

$$\Delta^W \rho^2(t,x) \geqslant -C\rho(t,x).$$

Now let x_0 be a point from M where the function $\rho(t,\cdot)$ achieves its minimum. Denote by R a positive real number which is less than the injectivity radius of M. Due to the maximum principle for elliptic inequalities on the domains $B = B(x_0,R)$ and $M\backslash B$, see [12, Sect. 3.3], we obtain

$$\sup_M \rho^2(t,\cdot) \leqslant \sup_{\partial B} \rho^2(t,\cdot) + \bar{C}_6 \sup_M \rho(t,\cdot).$$

It is a straightforward calculation to show that

$$\sup_{\partial B} \rho^2(t,\cdot) \leqslant \rho^2(t,x_0) + 2R \sup_B \left[\rho(t,\cdot)(\|du(t,\cdot)\| + \left\|du^0\right\|)\right].$$

Combining these inequalities with relation (6.4) in the proof of Lemma 6.3, we get

$$\sup_M \rho^2(t,\cdot) \leqslant \rho^2(t,x_0) + \bar{C}_7 \sup_M \rho(t,\cdot) + 2R\bar{C}_3 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t} \sup_M \rho^2(\tau,\cdot).$$

Now choosing R sufficiently small we demonstrate the claim. ☐

Claim 4. *A solution $u(t,x)$ of equation* (6.3) *satisfies the following point-wise estimate*

$$\|du(t,x)\| \leqslant C_3 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t} \sup_{x\in M} \rho(\tau,x)^{1/2} + C_4,$$

where $\rho(t,x) = \rho(u(t,x),u^0(x))$ is the geodesic distance as above and positive constants C_3 and C_4 depend on the same quantities as C_2 in Claim 3.

Proof. Let $t_* \in [\delta,t]$ be a point where $\sup_M \rho(\tau,\cdot)$ achieves its maximum. Replacing the quantity ρ^2 in the left-hand side of inequality (6.1) by

$$\bar{\rho}^2(\cdot) = \rho^2(t_*,\cdot) - C_2 \sup_{\tau\leqslant t} \inf_{x\in M} \rho^2(\tau,x),$$

we, as in Corollary 6.2, arrive at the following:

$$\int_M \|du(t_*,\cdot)\|^2 dVol_g \leqslant \bar{C}_8 \int_M \bar{\rho}^2 dVol_g + \bar{C}_9 \int_M \rho\, dVol_g + \bar{C}_{10}.$$

By Claim 3 the first integral on the left-hand side is estimated by

$$C_2 Vol(M) \sup_{\tau\leqslant t} \sup_{x\in M} \rho(\tau,x).$$

Now the use of Claim 2, combined with elementary transformations, yields the claimed inequality. ☐

6.3. The proof of Theorem 2.2

The following auxiliary assertion we state as a lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Let $u(t,x)$, where $t \geqslant 0$ and $x \in M$, be a solution of equation* (6.3) *such that the norm $\|du(t,x)\|$ is bounded uniformly in $t \geqslant 0$ and $x \in M$. Then there exists a sequence of times $t_n \to +\infty$ such that $u(t_n,\cdot)$ converges in C^2-topology to a Weyl harmonic map homotopic to u^0.*

Proof. First, recall the Bochner identity, Lemma A.2:

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\kappa = \|\nabla(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 - \sum_\alpha \langle R'(du\cdot e_\alpha,(\partial u/\partial t))du\cdot e_\alpha,(\partial u/\partial t)\rangle_{g'}, \qquad (6.5)$$

where $\kappa(t,x) \geqslant 0$ denotes the squared norm $\|(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the metric g on M is Gauduchon, i. e. the corresponding Higgs field Θ is co-closed. This implies that the integral of $\Delta^W\kappa$ over M vanishes. Thus integrating identity (6.5) and using the curvature hypothesis we conclude, that there exists a sequence of times $t_n \to +\infty$ such that each term in the right-hand side in (6.5) converges to zero point-wise along t_n.

Now we return to the solution $u(t,x)$ of the heat flow equation. By regularity theory for linear (elliptic and parabolic) equations, the bound on $\|du(t,x)\|$ together with Claim 1 imply, in a standard manner, $C^{2,\alpha}$-bounds on $u(t,\cdot)$ and $(\partial u/\partial t)(t,\cdot)$ independent of $t \geqslant 0$. Since the embeddings $C^{2,\alpha} \subset C^2$ are compact, we obtain a subsequence of the t_n's (denoted by the same symbol) such that $u(t_n,\cdot)$ and $(\partial u/\partial t)(t_n,\cdot)$ converge in C^2-topology to a map $u_\infty(\cdot)$ and a vector field $v(\cdot)$ respectively. Moreover, since any term in the right-hand side in (6.5) converges to zero along t_n, we conclude that v is a parallel vector field along u_∞. By the suppositions of Theorem 2.2, part (i), it vanishes and passing to the limit in equation (6.3), we obtain that u_∞ is a Weyl harmonic map. The vanishing of the curvature term in (6.5) implies the same conclusion under the hypotheses in the part (ii). ☐

For a proof of the theorem it is sufficient to show that the quantity $\rho(u(t,x),u^0(x))$ is bounded uniformly in $t \geqslant 0$ and $x \in M$. Indeed, in this case Claim 4 implies the bound on $\|du(t,x)\|$ and an application of Lemma 6.4 yields a Weyl harmonic map. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a sequence $t_n \to +\infty$ such that

$$\rho(t_n,x) = \rho(u(t_n,x),u^0(x)) \to +\infty, \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to +\infty,$$

for some $x \in M$. Moreover, Claim 3 implies that $\rho(t_n,x) \to +\infty$ for any $x \in M$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the sequence $\sup_M \rho(t_n,\cdot)$ is non-decreasing.

Denote by γ_x^n a geodesic joining points $u^0(x)$ and $u(t_n,x)$ as always in the homotopy class determined by the heat flow homotopy. Let T_n be the maximum of their lengths as x ranges over M and suppose that all paths γ_x^n are parameterised by $\tau \in [0,T_n]$; in other words, $\gamma_x^n(0) = u^0(x)$ and $\gamma_x^n(T_n) = u(t_n,x)$. The sequence of these T_n's converges to infinity and, after a selection of subsequence, γ_x^n converges to a geodesic ray γ_x. In sequel, we also suppose that the sequence T_n is not decreasing.

Consider the function $d(\gamma_{x_1}^n(\tau),\gamma_{x_2}^n(\tau))$ for arbitrary x_1 and $x_2 \in M$, where the distance is measured in some fixed homotopy class of arcs connecting $\gamma_{x_1}^n(\tau)$ and $\gamma_{x_2}^n(\tau)$. Claim 4 implies the estimate

$$d(\gamma_{x_1}^n(T_n),\gamma_{x_2}^n(T_n)) \leqslant C\sup_M \rho(t_n,\cdot)^{1/2} + C'.$$

The right-hand side can be estimated by $\bar{C}T_n^{1/2}$ for a sufficiently large n, and we arrive at the following inequality

$$d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(T_n),\gamma^n_{x_2}(T_n))\leqslant \bar{C}T_n^{1/2}. \tag{6.6}$$

Further, since M' has non-positive sectional curvature, the function

$$\tau\longmapsto d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(\tau),\gamma^n_{x_2}(\tau))$$

is convex; see (the proof of) Lemma 6.1. This implies that for a fixed $\tau\geqslant 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(\tau),\gamma^n_{x_2}(\tau)) &\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\left(1-\frac{\tau}{T_n}\right)d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(0),\gamma^n_{x_2}(0))+\frac{\tau}{T_n}d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(T_n),\gamma^n_{x_2}(T_n))\right]\\ &\leqslant \lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\left(1-\frac{\tau}{T_n}\right)d(\gamma^n_{x_1}(0),\gamma^n_{x_2}(0))+\frac{\tau}{T_n}\bar{C}T_n^{1/2}\right]=d(\gamma_{x_1}(0),\gamma_{x_2}(0)).\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality above follows from relation (6.6). Thus, the limiting rays γ_{x_1} and γ_{x_2} have to satisfy the relation

$$d(\gamma_{x_1}(\tau),\gamma_{x_2}(\tau))\leqslant d(\gamma_{x_1}(0),\gamma_{x_2}(0))\qquad\text{for every}\quad \tau\geqslant 0. \tag{6.7}$$

For any positive τ define a map $u^\tau: M\to M'$ by the rule $u^\tau(x)=\gamma_x(\tau)$. Then relation (6.7) implies that

$$\|du^\tau(x)\|\leqslant\left\|du^0(x)\right\|,\qquad x\in M.$$

Now suppose that u^0 is a harmonic map; it exists in any homotopy class due to Eells and Sampson [9]. Since it is energy minimising the inequality above shows that every u^τ has to be also harmonic. Now we are in the presence of a contradiction: under the suppositions of the theorem, in both cases (i) and (ii), the harmonic map is unique in the homotopy class under consideration; see [35, Th. 1-2]. ☐

6.4. The proof of Theorem 2.4

We follow the method of Schoen and Yau [35]. Let H be a homotopy between pseudo-harmonic maps u and v and $\rho^2=\rho^2_H(u,v)$ be the corresponding squared distance function. By Lemma 6.1, the latter satisfies the inequality $\Delta^W\rho^2\geqslant 0$ and, hence by the maximum principle, is constant. From relation (6.2) we conclude that $(r^2)_{X_\alpha X_\alpha}$ vanishes for any $1\leqslant\alpha\leqslant n$. Lifting to the universal covers we obtain that $(\tilde r^2)_{\tilde X_\alpha\tilde X_\alpha}=0$, where $\tilde X_\alpha$ denote the vectors $\tilde u^i_\alpha e_i+\tilde v^i_\alpha e_{\bar\imath}$. Now [35, Prop. 1] applies and we find vector fields W_α which are parallel along the unique geodesic $\tilde\gamma_x$ joining the points $\tilde u(x)$ and $\tilde v(x)$ and are such that

$$W_\alpha(\tilde u(x))=\tilde u^i_\alpha e_i(\tilde u(x))\quad\text{and}\quad W_\alpha(\tilde v(x))=\tilde v^i_\alpha e_i(\tilde v(x)).$$

Since $\tilde r$ is constant, we can parameterise each $\tilde\gamma_x$ on $[0,1]$ proportional (independent on $x\in\tilde M$) to arclength. We define a one-parameter family of maps $u_s:\tilde M\to\tilde M'$ by setting $\tilde u_s(x)=\tilde\gamma_x(s)$. Then, the parallelism of the W_α's implies that

$$W_\alpha(\gamma_x(s))=(\tilde u_s)^i_\alpha e_i(\tilde u_s(x)),\qquad s\in[0,1],\quad x\in M.$$

Besides, the maps $\tilde u_s$ descend to the maps $u_s: M\to M'$ such that $u_0=u$ and $u_1=v$.

Now we explain why each u_s is a Weyl harmonic map. If it is not than by Lemma 6.3 we can find a deformation $u_{s,t}$, where $u_{s,t}$ is a solution of the heat flow with initial map u_s.

The same argument as in the proof of Lemma A.2 yields the formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\|(\partial u_s/\partial s)\|^2 = \|\nabla(\partial u_s/\partial s)\|^2 \\ - \sum_\alpha \langle R'(du_s \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u_s/\partial s))du_s \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u_s/\partial s)\rangle,$$

where e_α is an orthonormal basis for T_xM. In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\|(\partial u_s/\partial s)\|^2 \geqslant 0, \qquad t \in [0,+\infty), \quad s \in [0,1],$$

and the maximum principle shows that the length of the curve $s \mapsto u_{s,t}(x)$ for any $t > 0$ and $x \in M$ is not greater than the length of the geodesic $s \mapsto u_s(x)$. Since the curves are homotopic with fixed end-points, the former has to be also a geodesic and, moreover, does not depend on t and coincides with the curve $u_s(x)$. Now from the heat flow equation, we conclude that each u_s is a Weyl harmonic map.

The statement on the parallelism of $(\partial u_s/\partial s)$ follows from the observation that the operator field $du_s(x)$ is parallel along each geodesic γ_x. Indeed, for an orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ for T_xM, each vector field $du(e_\alpha)$ coincides with W_α and is parallel along γ_x. In particular, we see that the energy density

$$e(du_s)(x) = \sum_\alpha |du_s(e_\alpha)|^2(x)$$

is constant along γ_x.

Finally, note that the vectors W_α span the image of du_s. When M' has negative sectional curvature [35, Prop. 1] implies that the W_α's are proportional to the tangent vector $\dot\gamma_x$ and, hence, the rank of $du_s(x)$ is not greater than one for each $x \in M$ and $s \in [0,1]$. This yields the last statement of the theorem. ☐

A. Appendix: Bochner-type identities for the pseudo-harmonic maps and solutions of the corresponding heat flow.

In this appendix we collected the Bochner-type identities for the pseudo-harmonic setting, which are important for the analysis above. First, we consider the solutions of the elliptic equation

$$\tau^W(u)(x) = 0, \qquad x \in M. \tag{A.1}$$

As above the symbol Δ^W stands for the Weyl laplacian, $trace_g \nabla^W d$.

Lemma A.1. *Let (M,g) and (M',g') be arbitrary Riemannian manifolds and suppose that M is endowed with a Weyl connection ∇^W preserving g. Then for any smooth solution of equation* (A.1) *the following relation holds*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta^W\|du\|^2 = \|\nabla du\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\langle R'(du\cdot e_\alpha, du\cdot e_\beta)du\cdot e_\alpha, du\cdot e_\beta\rangle_{g'} \\ + \sum_\alpha\left[Ricci(X_\alpha,X_\alpha) + \frac{n-2}{2}\left(\nabla_{X_\alpha}\Theta\right)(X_\alpha)\right],$$

where $X_\alpha = (u^*\phi^\alpha)^\sharp$ and the systems $\{e_\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi^\alpha\}$ are orthonormal bases in $T.M$ and $T^*_{u(\cdot)}M'$ respectively at the point under consideration; the symbol Ricci denotes the Ricci curvature of M and R' stands for the curvature tensor of M'.

Proof. First, recall the general Bochner formula for any smooth map $u : M \to M'$, see [15],

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \|du\|^2 = \|\nabla du\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle R'(du \cdot e_\alpha, du \cdot e_\beta) du \cdot e_\alpha, du \cdot e_\beta \rangle_{g'} + \sum_\alpha Ricci(X_\alpha, X_\alpha) + \sum_\alpha \langle \nabla'_{e_\alpha} \tau(u), du \cdot e_\alpha \rangle_{g'}, \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

where ∇ and *Ricci* stand for the Levi-Civita connection of g and its Ricci curvature respectively. Since the map u is pseudo-harmonic, relation (2.3) implies that the tension field $\tau(u)$ is equal to $c_n du(\Theta^\sharp)$, where the constant c_n equals $((n-2)/2)$. Hence, for the last term in identity (A.2) we obtain the following:

$$\sum_\alpha \langle \nabla'_{e_\alpha} \tau(u), du \cdot e_\alpha \rangle_{g'} = c_n \sum_\alpha \langle (\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_\alpha} du) \cdot \Xi^\sharp, du \cdot e_\alpha \rangle_{g'} + c_n \sum_\alpha \langle du \cdot (\nabla_{e_\alpha} \Xi^\sharp), du \cdot e_\alpha \rangle_{g'}.$$

It is a straightforward calculation to show that the first term in this sum together with the quantity $(1/2)\Delta \|du\|^2$ in the left-hand side in (A.2) gives exactly the Weyl laplacian $(1/2)\Delta^W \|du\|^2$. The second term can be further transformed as

$$c_n \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} \Xi)(e_\beta) \langle du \cdot e_\alpha, du \cdot e_\beta \rangle_{g'} = c_n \sum_\alpha (\nabla_{X_\alpha} \Xi)(X_\alpha).$$

Now the lemma follows by the combination of this with the Bochner formula (A.2). ☐

The next lemma is concerned with solutions of the pseudo-harmonic map heat flow, the corresponding parabolic equation;

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t,x) = \tau^W(u)(t,x), \quad u(0,x) = u^0(x), \qquad x \in M,\ t \in [0, +\infty). \quad \text{(A.3)}$$

Lemma A.2. *Let (M,g) and (M',g') be arbitrary Riemannian manifolds and suppose that M is endowed with a Weyl connection ∇^W preserving the conformal class of g. Then for any smooth solution of equation* (A.3) *the following relations hold*

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|du\|^2 = \|\nabla du\|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \langle R'(du \cdot e_\alpha, du \cdot e_\beta) du \cdot e_\alpha, du \cdot e_\beta \rangle_{g'} + \sum_\alpha \left[Ricci(X_\alpha, X_\alpha) + \frac{n-2}{2} (\nabla_{X_\alpha} \Theta)(X_\alpha) \right],$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta^W - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 = \|\nabla(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 - \sum_\alpha \langle R'(du \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u/\partial t)) du \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u/\partial t) \rangle_{g'},$$

where $X_\alpha = (u^* \phi^\alpha)^\sharp$ and the systems $\{e_\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi^\alpha\}$ are orthonormal bases in $T.M$ and $T^*_{u(\cdot)}M'$ respectively at the point under consideration; the symbol Ricci denotes the Ricci curvature of M and R' stands for the curvature tensor of M'.

Proof. The first relation follows in a similar fashion as in the proof of Lemma A.1. Now we demonstrate the second relation. Standard calculations imply the following Bochner-type formula for the family of mappings $u(t,\cdot)$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \|(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 = \|\nabla(\partial u/\partial t)\|^2 - \sum_\alpha \langle R'(du \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u/\partial t)) du \cdot e_\alpha, (\partial u/\partial t) \rangle_{g'} + \langle (\partial u/\partial t), \nabla'_{\partial/\partial t} \tau(u) \rangle_{g'},$$

cf. [34]. Now the claim follows by substituting in this formula $(\partial u/\partial t) + c_n du(\Theta^\sharp)$ for the tension field $\tau(u)$ and making elementary transformations. ☐

B. Appendix: Unique continuation of Hermitian harmonic maps.

The purpose of this appendix is to explain the following statement:

Lemma B.1. *Let $u : M \to M'$ be a Hermitian harmonic map between arbitrary (connected) complex manifolds. Suppose that u is holomorhic on a non-empty open subset in M. Then u is holomorphic on M.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that M and M' are open balls in $\mathbf{C}^n$ and $\mathbf{C}^{n'}$, endowed with torsion-free complex connections and a Hermitian metric g on M. Denote by $v^i_{\bar\gamma}$ and $w^i_{\bar\gamma}$ the real and imaginary parts of $\partial_{\bar\gamma}u^i$. By the discussion in Ex. 1.1 the coordinate functions u^i satisfy the equation

$$Lu^i + g^{\alpha\bar\beta}\Gamma'^{\,i}_{jk}(\partial_\alpha u^j)(\partial_{\bar\beta}u^k) = 0,$$

where L denotes the holomorphic Laplacian $g^{\alpha\bar\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_{\bar\beta}$. Applying to this equation $\partial_{\bar\gamma}$ and using the fact that L is a real operator (i.e. it maps real functions to real functions), we obtain, after elementary transformations, that on any compact subset $K \subset M$ the following inequalities hold

$$|Lv^i_{\bar\gamma}|^2 \leqslant C_K \sum_{j,\bar\mu}\left(|dv^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |dw^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |v^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |w^j_{\bar\mu}|^2\right),$$

$$|Lw^i_{\bar\gamma}|^2 \leqslant C_K \sum_{j,\bar\mu}\left(|dv^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |dw^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |v^j_{\bar\mu}|^2 + |w^j_{\bar\mu}|^2\right).$$

Now Aronszajn's theorem [2] applies to show that if $v^i_{\bar\gamma}$ and $w^i_{\bar\gamma}$ vanish on an open subset of K, then they vanish on all interior of K. Since the compact set K is arbitrary, we are done. ☐

References

[1] Amorós, J., Burger, M., Corlette, K., Kotschick, D.;, Toledo, D. *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 44. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. xii+140 pp.

[2] Aronszajn, N. *A unique continuation theorem for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of second order.* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **36** (1957), 235–249.

[3] Calderbank, D. M. J., Pedersen, H. *Einstein-Weyl geometry.* Surveys in differential geometry: essays on Einstein manifolds, 387–423, Surv. Differ. Geom., VI, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999.

[4] Carlson, J. A., Toledo, D. *Harmonic mappings of Kähler manifolds to locally symmetric spaces.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **69** (1989), 173–201.

[5] Carlson, J. A., Toledo, D. *On fundamental groups of class VII surfaces.* Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), 98–102.

[6] Carlson, J. A., Hernández, L. *Harmonic maps from compact Kähler manifolds to exceptional hyperbolic spaces.* J. Geom. Anal. **1** (1991), 339–357.

[7] Diederich, K.; Fornæss, J. E. *Thin complements of complete Kähler domains.* Math. Ann. **259** (1982), 331–341.

[8] Dragomir, S., Ornea, L. *Locally conformal Kähler geometry.* Progress in Mathematics, 155. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.

[9] Eells J., Sampson J. H. *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds.* Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 109–160.

[10] Gauduchon, P. *Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B **285** (1977), A387–A390.

[11] Gauduchon, P. *Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type* $S^1 \times S^3$. J. Reine Angew. Math. **469** (1995), 1–50.

[12] Gilbarg D., Trudinger N. S. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Second edition. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[13] Gromov M. *Kähler hyperbolicity and* L_2*-Hodge theory.* J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), 263–292.

[14] Helgason, S. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1978. xv+628 pp.

[15] Jost, J. *Nonpositive curvature: geometric and analytic aspects.* Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.

[16] Jost, J., Yau, S.-T. *A strong rigidity theorem for a certain class of compact complex analytic surfaces.* Math. Ann. **271** (1985), 143–152.

[17] Jost, J., Yau, S.-T. *Harmonic maps and group representations.* Differential geometry, 241–259, Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math., **52**, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.

[18] Jost J., Yau S.–T. *A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry.* Acta Math., **170** (1993), 221–254. Errata in **173** (1994), 307.

[19] Kaup, B. *Über offene analytische Äquivalenzrelationen auf komplexen Räumen.* Math. Ann. **183** (1969), 6–16.

[20] Kerr, M. *Homogeneous Einstein-Weyl structures on symmetric spaces.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **15** (1997), 437–445.

[21] Kokarev G. *Elements of qualitative theory of quasilinear elliptic partial differential equations for mappings valued in manifolds.* PhD Thesis, Heriot-Watt University, 2003.

[22] Kokarev G. *A note on Morse inequalities for harmonic maps with potential and their applications.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008), 101–113.

[23] Koszul, J.-L.; Malgrange, B. *Sur certaines structures fibrées complexes.* Arch. Math. **9** (1958), 102–109.

[24] Kotschick, D. *Remarks on geometric structures on compact complex surfaces.* Topology **31** (1992), 317–321.

[25] Ladyzhenskaya O. A., Solonnikov V. A., Ural'ceva N. N. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1967

[26] Lafont J.-F., Roy R. *A note on characteristic numbers of non-positively curved manifolds.* Expo. Math. **25** (2007), 21–35.

[27] Mok, N. *The holomorphic or antiholomorphic character of harmonic maps into irreducible compact quotients of polydiscs.* Math. Ann. **272** (1985), 197–216.

[28] Mok, N. *Strong rigidity of irreducible quotients of polydiscs of finite volume.* Math. Ann. **282** (1988), 555–577.

[29] Oeljeklaus, K. Toma, M. *Non-Kähler compact complex manifolds associated to number fields.* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), 161–171.

[30] Ornea, L. *Locally conformally Khler manifolds. A selection of results.* Lect. Notes Semin. Interdiscip. Mat., IV, 121–152, S.I.M. Dep. Mat. Univ. Basilicata, Potenza, 2005.

[31] Pedersen, H., Swann, A. *Einstein-Weyl geometry, the Bach tensor and conformal scalar curvature.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **441** (1993), 99–113.

[32] Sampson, J. H. *Some properties and applications of harmonic mappings.* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **11** (1978), 211–228.

[33] Sampson, J. H. *Harmonic maps in Kähler geometry.* Lecture Notes in Math., **1161**, 193–205, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[34] Schoen R. *Analytic aspects of the harmonic map problem.* Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **2** (1984), 321–358.

[35] Schoen R., Yau S.-T. *Compact group actions and the topology of manifolds with non-positive sectional curvature.* Topology **18** (1979), 361–380. Errata in **21** (1982), 483.

[36] Siu, Y. T. *The complex-analyticity of harmonic maps and the strong rigidity of compact Kähler manifolds.* Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), 73–111.

[37] Taubes, C. H. *The existence of anti-self-dual conformal structures.* J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), 163–253.

[38] Tod, K. P. *Compact* 3*-dimensional Einstein-Weyl structures.* J. London Math. Soc. (2) **45** (1992), 341–351.

[39] Vaisman, I. *On locally and globally conformal Kähler manifolds.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **262** (1980), 533–542.

[40] Wall, C. T. C. *Geometric structures on compact complex analytic surfaces.* Topology **25** (1986), 119–153.

[41] Wu, H. *The Bochner technique in differential geometry.* Math. Rep. **3** (1988), i–xii and 289–538.